IPv6 Re	ady Logo	
Phase-2 Co Test Spe IP	onformance ecification sec	
<b>Technical</b> Revisio	<b>Document</b> on 2.0.0f	
IPv6 Forum	https://www.ipv	6forum.org/



# Acknowledgments

IPv6 Forum would like to acknowledge the efforts of the following organizations in the development of this test specification.

- TAHI Project
- University of New Hampshire Interoperability Laboratory (UNH-IOL)
- IRISA



# Table of Contents

IPv6 Ready Logo	0
Acknowledgments	1
Table of Contents	2
Introduction	5
Requirements	6
Equipment Type	6
Security Protocol	6
Mode	6
Keying	6
Test Traffic	7
Category	7
Required Tests	8
References	
Algorithms	11
Architecture	11
Test Topology	12
Description	12 17
Common Configurations	1/ 18
Common Configuration: Sections 1 and 2	10 10
Global Security Associations	19
Common Configuration: Section 3	
Section 1: IKEv2	
Section 1: IKEv2 1.1. Initiator - IKE_SA_INIT Exchange	
Section 1: IKEv2 1.1. Initiator - IKE_SA_INIT Exchange IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Request Format IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Request Format	22 23 24
Section 1: IKEv2 1.1. Initiator - IKE_SA_INIT Exchange IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Request Format IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Retransmission IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Cryptographic Algorithm Negotiation	<b>22</b> 23 24 26
Section 1: IKEv2 1.1. Initiator - IKE_SA_INIT Exchange IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Request Format IPsec.Conf.1.1.1.2: IKE_SA_INIT Retransmission IPsec.Conf.1.1.1.3: IKE_SA_INIT Cryptographic Algorithm Negotiation IPsec Conf.1.1.1.4: IKE_SA_INIT Exchange with N(COOKIE)	<b>22</b> <b>23</b> 24 26 29 31
Section 1: IKEv2 1.1. Initiator - IKE_SA_INIT Exchange IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Request Format IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Retransmission IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Cryptographic Algorithm Negotiation IPsec.Conf.1.1.1.4: IKE_SA_INIT Exchange with N(COOKIE) IPsec Conf.1.1.1.5: IKE_SA_INIT Exchange with N(INVALID KE_PAYLOAD)	<b>22</b> 23 24 26 29 31 33
Section 1: IKEv2 1.1. Initiator - IKE_SA_INIT Exchange IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Request Format IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Retransmission IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Cryptographic Algorithm Negotiation IPsec.Conf.1.1.1.4: IKE_SA_INIT Exchange with N(COOKIE) IPsec.Conf.1.1.1.5: IKE_SA_INIT Exchange with N(INVALID_KE_PAYLOAD) IPsec Conf.1.1.1.6: IKE_SA_INIT Exchange: COOKIE and INVALID KE	<b>22</b> 23 24 26 29 31 33 33
Section 1: IKEv2 1.1. Initiator - IKE_SA_INIT Exchange IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Request Format IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Retransmission IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Cryptographic Algorithm Negotiation IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Exchange with N(COOKIE) IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Exchange with N(INVALID_KE_PAYLOAD) IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Exchange; COOKIE and INVALID KE IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Exchange; COOKIE and INVALID KE IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Exchange; COOKIE and INVALID KE	<b>22</b> <b>23</b> 24 26 29 31 33 35 39
Section 1: IKEv2 1.1. Initiator - IKE_SA_INIT Exchange IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Request Format IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Retransmission IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Cryptographic Algorithm Negotiation IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Exchange with N(COOKIE) IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Exchange with N(INVALID_KE_PAYLOAD) IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Exchange; COOKIE and INVALID KE IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT inconsistent response proposal IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Forward Compatibility	<b>22</b> 23 24 26 29 31 33 35 39 40
<ul> <li>Section 1: IKEv2</li></ul>	<b>22</b> <b>23</b> 24 26 29 31 33 35 39 40 <b>42</b>
<ul> <li>Section 1: IKEv2</li></ul>	<b>22</b> <b>23</b> 24 26 29 31 33 35 39 40 <b>42</b> 43
<ul> <li>Section 1: IKEv2</li></ul>	<b>22</b> <b>23</b> 24 26 29 31 33 35 39 40 <b>42</b> 43 46
<ul> <li>Section 1: IKEv2</li></ul>	<b>22</b> <b>23</b> 24 26 29 31 33 35 39 40 <b>42</b> 43 43 46 48
<ul> <li>Section 1: IKEv2</li></ul>	<b>22</b> <b>23</b> 24 26 29 31 33 35 39 40 <b>42</b> 43 46 52
<ul> <li>Section 1: IKEv2</li> <li>1.1. Initiator - IKE_SA_INIT Exchange</li></ul>	<b>22</b> <b>23</b> 24 26 29 31 33 35 39 40 <b>42</b> 43 <b>42</b> 43 <b>46</b> 48 52 56
<ul> <li>Section 1: IKEv2</li> <li>1.1. Initiator - IKE_SA_INIT Exchange</li></ul>	<b>22</b> <b>23</b> 24 26 29 31 33 35 39 40 <b>42</b> 43 43 46 48 52 56 58
<ul> <li>Section 1: IKEv2.</li> <li>1.1. Initiator - IKE_SA_INIT Exchange.</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Request Format.</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.1.2: IKE_SA_INIT Retransmission</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.1.3: IKE_SA_INIT Cryptographic Algorithm Negotiation.</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.1.4: IKE_SA_INIT Exchange with N(COOKIE)</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.1.5: IKE_SA_INIT Exchange with N(INVALID_KE_PAYLOAD)</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.1.6: IKE_SA_INIT Exchange; COOKIE and INVALID KE</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.1.7: IKE_SA_INIT inconsistent response proposal</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.1.8: IKE_SA_INIT Forward Compatibility</li> <li>1.2. Initiator - IKE_AUTH Exchange</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.1.2: IKE_AUTH Request Format.</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.1.2: IKE_AUTH Retransmission</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.5: IKE_AUTH Retransmission</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.6: IKE_AUTH Cryptographic Algorithm Negotiation.</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.2.7: IKE_AUTH Inconsistent response proposal</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.2.7: IKE_AUTH Inconsistent Proposal</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.6: IKE_AUTH Retransmission</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.2.7: IKE_AUTH Inconsistent Proposal</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.2.7: IKE_AUTH Inconsistent Proposal</li> </ul>	<b>22</b> <b>23</b> 24 26 29 31 33 35 39 40 <b>42</b> 43 46 <b>43</b> 52 56 58 60
<ul> <li>Section 1: IKEv2.</li> <li>1.1. Initiator - IKE_SA_INIT Exchange.</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Request Format.</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Retransmission</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Cryptographic Algorithm Negotiation.</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Exchange with N(COOKIE).</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Exchange with N(INVALID_KE_PAYLOAD)</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Exchange; COOKIE and INVALID KE</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Exchange; COOKIE and INVALID KE</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT inconsistent response proposal</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Forward Compatibility</li> <li>1.2. Initiator - IKE_AUTH Exchange</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.1.2: IKE_AUTH Request Format.</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.1.2: IKE_AUTH Retransmission</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.1.2: IKE_AUTH Retransmission</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.1.2: IKE_AUTH Cryptographic Algorithm Negotiation</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.1.2: IKE_AUTH Retransmission</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.1.2: IKE_AUTH Retransmission</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.1.2: IKE_AUTH Cryptographic Algorithm Negotiation</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.1.2: IKE_AUTH Inconsistent response proposal</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.2.7: IKE_AUTH Inconsistent response proposal</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.2.7: IKE_AUTH Inconsistent response proposal</li> <li>IPsec.Conf.1.2.8: Traffic Selector Negotiation</li> </ul>	<b>22</b> <b>23</b> 24 26 29 31 33 35 39 40 <b>42</b> 43 40 <b>42</b> 43 <b>46</b> 48 52 56 58 60 62
Section 1: IKEv2 1.1. Initiator - IKE_SA_INIT Exchange	<b>22 23 24 26 29 31 33 35 39 40 42 43 40 42 43 46 48 52 56 58 60 62 65</b>
Section 1: IKEv2 1.1. Initiator - IKE_SA_INIT Exchange	<b>22 23 24 26 29 31 33 35 39 40 40 42 43 46 48 52 56 58 60 62 60 62 65 68 71</b>
Section 1: IKEv2 1.1. Initiator - IKE_SA_INIT Exchange	<b>22 23 24 26 29 31 33 35 39 40 40 42 43 40 42 43 40 42 43 46 48 52 56 58 60 62 60 62 65 68 71 77</b>



IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.13: IKE_AUTH Unrecognized Error	79 <b>82</b>
	4.64
2.1 IDeeg (FSD Architecture (Transport Mode)	
IPsoc Conf 2.1.1. Soloct SPD	<b>102</b> 162
IPsec Conf 2 1 2 Solect SPD (Next Lawer Protocol Solectors)	
IPsec Conf 2.1.2. Select SFD (Next Layer Flotocol Selectors)	
IPsec Conf 2.1.4. Darket Too Pig Decention	174
IPsec Conf 2.1.5. Packet 100 Dig Reception	174
IPsec Conf 2.1.6. Bunass Policy	170 107
IPsec Conf 2.1.7 Discard Policy	102
IPsec Conf 2 1 9 Transport Mode Padding	
IPsec Conf 2.1.0. Invalid SDI	100 102
IPsec. Conf. 2.1.10. Invalid ICV	
2.2 IDsoc/ESD Architecture (Tunnel Mode)	<b>109</b>
IDeec Conf 1 1 2 1 Tunnel Mode with End Node	100
IPsec Conf 1 1 2 2 Tunnel Mode with SCW	
IPsec Conf 1 1 2 2 Tunnel Mode Select SDD	
IPsec Conf 1 1 2 4 Tunnel Mode Padding	203
IPsec Conf 1 1 2 5 Tunnel Mode Fragmontation	
II Sec.com.1.1.2.5. Tunner Mode Fragmentation	
Section 3: SGW Test	
3.1. IPsec/ESP Architecture	217
IPsec.Conf.3.1.1. Select SPD (2 SGW Peers)	
IPsec.Conf.3.1.2. Select SPD (2 Hosts behind same Peer)	
IPsec.Conf.3.1.3. Sequence Number Increment	
IPsec.Conf.3.1.4. Packet Too Big Transmission	
IPsec.Conf.3.1.5. Packet Too Big Forwarding	
IPsec.Conf.3.1.6. Receipt of No Next Header	
IPsec.Conf.3.1.7. Bypass Policy	
IPsec.Conf.3.1.8. Discard Policy	
IPsec.Conf.3.1.9. Tunnel Mode Padding	247
IPsec.Conf.3.1.10. Invalid SPI	251
IPsec.Conf.3.1.11. Invalid ICV	253
IPsec.Conf.3.1.12. Tunnel Mode with End-Node	255
Section 4: Algorithms	
4.1. ESP Algorithms	
ESP Common Configurations	258
IPsec Conf 4 1 1 End-Node ESP Algorithms (Transport Mode)	260
IPsec Conf 4 1.2. End-Node ESP Algorithms (Tunnel Mode)	262
IPsec Conf 4 1 3 SGW ESP Algorithms	264
	201
Modification Record	
Appenuix A: Manual Settings Disanoweu	
AES CCM	
АЕЗ-ИСИ	
ALJ'UMAL Chacha20 Dalu120E	
UIAUIA20°F 01y 1303	

IPv6 Ready Logo Program Phase-2 Test Specification IPsec



oyright
---------



# Introduction

The IPv6 forum plays a major role to bring together industrial actors, to develop and deploy the next generation of IP protocols. Contrary to IPv4, which started with a small closed group of implementers, the universality of IPv6 leads to a huge number of implementations. Interoperability has always been considered as a critical feature in the Internet community.

Due to the large number of IPv6 implementations, it is important to provide the market a strong signal proving the level of interoperability across various products. To avoid confusion in the mind of customers, a globally unique logo program should be defined. The IPv6 logo will give confidence to users that IPv6 is currently operational. It will also be a clear indication that the technology will still be used in the future. To summarize, this logo program will contribute to the feeling that IPv6 is available and ready to be used.



### Requirements

To obtain the IPv6 Ready Logo Phase-2 for IPsec (IPsec Logo), the Node Under Test (NUT) must satisfy following requirements.

### **Equipment Type**

• End-Node (EN)

A node that uses IPsec only for itself. Hosts and Routers can be End-Nodes.

• Security Gateway (SGW)

A node that can provide IPsec Tunnel Mode for nodes behind it. Routers can be SGWs.

#### **Security Protocol**

NUTs must utilize ESP regardless of the type of the NUT. The IPv6 Ready Logo Program does not test AH.

#### Mode

The mode requirement depends on the type of NUT.

• End-Node:

If the NUT is an End-Node, it must pass all of the Transport Mode mode tests. If the NUT supports tunnel mode, it must pass all of the Tunnel Mode tests (i.e. Tunnel mode is an advanced functionality for End-Node NUTs).

• SGW:

If the NUT is a SGW, it must pass all of the Tunnel Mode tests.

#### Keying

Previous versions of this test suite required Manual Keying by default, as a minimum requirement. Developments in industry best practices have shown that Manual Keys pose a significant security risk.

According to RFC 7321bis, Section 3:

Manual Keying is not be used as it is inherently dangerous. Without any keying protocol, it does not offer Perfect Forward Secrecy ("PFS") protection. Deployments tend to never be reconfigured with fresh session keys. It also fails to scale and keeping SPI's unique amongst many servers is impractical. This document was written for deploying ESP/AH using IKE (RFC7298) and assumes that keying happens using IKEv2.

If manual keying is used anyway, ENCR\_AES\_CBC MUST be used, and ENCR\_AES\_CCM, ENCR\_AES\_GCM and ENCR\_CHACHA20\_POLY1305 MUST NOT be used as these algorithms require IKE.



Following this recommendation, a configuration using Dynamic Keying, facilitated by IKE is used by default, and specifically IKEv2. IKEv1 is obsolete and not supported. Devices which support only Manual Keys will not successfully pass these tests, as the BASIC combined-mode (AEAD) algorithms require Dynamic Keying.

When IKEv2 is used, the encryption keys and Integrity keys are negotiated dynamically. The tester should support the alternative of using IKE with dynamic keys to execute the tests. Manual Keys may be used in tests that have indicated they are acceptable. These tests are run with IKEv2, and if necessary, run again with Manual Keys.

#### **Test Traffic**

Most tests use ICMP/UDP/TCP for data traffic.

#### Category

In this document, the tests and algorithms are categorized into two types: BASIC and ADVANCED

ALL NUTs are required to support BASIC. ADVANCED tests are required for all NUTs which support ADVANCED encryption/Integrity algorithms. Each test description contains a Category section. The section lists the requirements to satisfy each test.



# **Required** Tests

Tast Casa	Title	IPv6Ready
	The	Requirement
IPsec.Conf.1.1.1.1	IKE_SA_INIT Request Format	EN: Basic
	•	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.1.1.2	IKE_SA_INIT Retransmission	EN: Basic
	IKE SA INIT Cryptographic Algorithm	FN: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.1.1.3	Negotiation	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.1.1.4	IKE_SA_INIT Exchange with N(COOKIE)	EN: Basic SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.1.1.5	IKE_SA_INIT Exchange with N(INVALID KE PAYLOAD)	EN: Advanced SGW: Advanced
	IKE_SA_INIT Exchange; COOKIE and	EN: Advanced
IPsec.Conf.1.1.1.6	INVALID KE	SGW: Advanced
IPsec.Conf.1.1.1.7: IKE_SA_INIT inconsistent response proposal	IPsec.Conf.1.1.1.7: IKE_SA_INIT inconsistent response proposal	EN: Basic SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.1.1.8: IKE_SA_INIT Forward Compatibility	IPsec.Conf.1.1.1.8: IKE_SA_INIT Forward Compatibility	EN: Basic SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.1	IKE_AUTH Request Format	EN: Basic SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.2: IKE_AUTH	IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.2: IKE_AUTH Exchange	EN: Basic
Exchange Succeeds	Succeeds	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.3: IKE_AUTH	IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.3: IKE_AUTH	EN: Basic
Retransmission	Retransmission	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.4: State Synchronization	IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.4: State Synchronization	EN: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.5: IKE AUTH		EN: Basic
Cryptographic Algorithm	IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.5: IKE_AUTH	SGW: Basic
Negotiation	Cryptographic Algorithm Negotiation	
IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.6: IKE_AUTH	IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.6: IKE_AUTH	EN: Basic
N(NO_PROPOSAL_CHOSEN)	N(NO_PROPOSAL_CHOSEN)	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.7: IKE_AUTH	IPsoc Conf 1 1 2 7: IKE AUTH Inconsistant	EN: Basic
Inconsistent response	response proposal	SGW: Basic
proposal		
IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.8: Traffic	IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.8: Traffic Selector	EN: Basic
Selector Negotiation	Negotiation	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.9: Peer Identification	IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.9: Peer Identification	EN: Basic SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.10:		EN: Basic
Authentication via RSA Digital	IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.10: Authentication via	SGW: Basic
Signature	RSA Digital Signature	
IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.11:	IPsec Conf 1 1 2 11, Authentication via DSK	EN: Basic
Authentication via PSK	If Sec.com.1.1.2.11. Authentication via FSK	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.12: IKE_AUTH	IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.12: IKE_AUTH Forward	EN: Basic
Forward Compatibility	Compatibility	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.13: IKE_AUTH	IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.13: IKE_AUTH	EN: Basic
Unrecognized Error	Unrecognized Error	SGW: Basic
IPSEC.CONT.1.1.4.1: IKE_SA	IPsec.Conf.1.1.4.1: IKE_SA Deletion	EN: Basic
		JUW, DASIL



IPsec.Conf.1.1.4.2: CHILD_SA	IPsec.Conf.1.1.4.2: CHILD SA Deletion	EN: Basic
Deletion		SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.2.1.1:	IPsec.Conf.1.2.1.1: IKE_SA_INIT Response	EN: Basic
IKE_SA_INIT Response Format	Format	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.2.1.2:	IPsec.Conf.1.2.1.2: IKE_SA_INIT	EN: Basic
IKE_SA_INIT Retransmission	Retransmission	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.2.1.3:	IDeac Conf 1 2 1 2, IVE SA INIT	EN: Basic
IKE_SA_INIT Cryptographic	Gruntagraphic Algorithm Negatistian	SGW: Basic
Algorithm Negotiation	Cryptographic Algorithm Negotiation	
IPsec.Conf.1.2.1.4:	IPsec.Conf.1.2.1.4: IKE_SA_INIT Version	EN: Basic
IKE_SA_INIT Version Number	Number	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.2.1.5:	IDage Conf 1 2 1 5, IVE CA INIT Multiple	EN: Basic
IKE_SA_INIT Multiple	IPSec.Conf.1.2.1.5: IKE_SA_INTT Multiple	SGW: Basic
Transforms	Transforms	
IPsec.Conf.1.2.1.6:		EN: Basic
IKE SA INIT Multiple	IPsec.Conf.1.2.1.6: IKE_SA_INIT Multiple	SGW: Basic
Proposals	Proposals	
IPsec.Conf.1.2.1.7:		EN: Basic
IKE SA INIT Exchange with	IPsec.Conf.1.2.1.7: IKE_SA_INIT Exchange	SGW: Basic
INVALID_KE PAYLOAD	with INVALID_KE_PAYLOAD	
IPsec.Conf.1.2.1.8:		EN: Basic
IKE SA INIT Forward	IPsec.Conf.1.2.1.8: IKE_SA_INIT Forward	SGW: Basic
Compatibility	Compatibility	
IPsec.Conf.1.2.1.9:		EN: Basic
IKE SA INIT Invalid	IPsec.Conf.1.2.1.9: IKE_SA_INIT Invalid	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.1: IKE AUTH	IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.1: IKE AUTH Response	EN: Basic
Response Format	Format	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.2: IKE AUTH	IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.2: IKE AUTH Exchange	EN: Basic
Exchange Succeeds	Succeeds	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.3: IKE_AUTH	IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.3: IKE_AUTH	EN: Basic
Retransmission	Retransmission	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.4: State		EN: Basic
Synchronization	iPsec.conf.1.2.2.4: State Synchronization	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.5: IKE_AUTH		EN: Basic
Cryptographic Algorithm	IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.5: IKE_AUTH	SGW: Basic
Negotiation	Cryptographic Algorithm Negotiation	
IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.6: IKE_AUTH	IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.6: IKE_AUTH Multiple	EN: Basic
Multiple Transforms	Transforms	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.7: IKE_AUTH	IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.7: IKE_AUTH Multiple	EN: Basic
Multiple Proposals	Proposals	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.8: IKE_AUTH	IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.8: IKE_AUTH	EN: Basic
N(NO_PROPOSAL_CHOSEN)	N(NO_PROPOSAL_CHOSEN)	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.9: Traffic	IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.9: Traffic Selector	EN: Basic
Selector Negotiation	Negotiation	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.10: Peer	IDean Conf 1 2 2 10, Dean Identification	EN: Basic
Identification	iPsec.coni.1.2.2.10: Peer Identification	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.11:	IDage Conf 1 2 2 11. Authentication via	EN: Basic
Authentication via RSA Digital	PSec. Com. 1.2.2.11: Authentication via	SGW: Basic
Signature	Non Digital Signatule	
IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.12:	IDeac Conf 1 2 2 12, Authentication via DSK	EN: Basic
Authentication via PSK	n sectom.1.2.2.12. Authentitation via PSK	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.13: IKE_AUTH	IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.13: IKE_AUTH Forward	EN: Basic
Forward Compatibility	Compatibility	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.14:	IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.14: Unrecognized Notify	EN: Basic
Unrecognized Notify Type	Туре	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.2.3.1: IKE_AUTH	IDeac Conf 1 2 2 1. IKE AUTH Deeponse	EN: Basic
<b>Response Format in Tunnel</b>	Format in Tunnel Made	SGW: Basic
Mode		

IPv6 FORUM TECHNICAL DOCUMENT 9



IPsec.Conf.1.2.3.2: IKE_AUTH Exchange Succeeds in Tunnel Mode	IPsec.Conf.1.2.3.2: IKE_AUTH Exchange Succeeds in Tunnel Mode	EN: Basic SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.2.5.1: INFORMATIONAL Exchange	IPsec.Conf.1.2.5.1: INFORMATIONAL Exchange	EN: Basic SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.2.5.2: IKE_SA Deletion	IPsec.Conf.1.2.5.2: IKE_SA Deletion	EN: Basic SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.1.2.5.3: CHILD_SA Deletion	IPsec.Conf.1.2.5.3: CHILD_SA Deletion	EN: Basic SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.2.1.1	Select SPD	EN: Basic
IPsec.Conf.2.1.2 Part A	Select SPD (Select ICMPv6 Type)	EN: Basic
IPsec.Conf.2.1.2 Part B	Select SPD (Select TCP Port)	EN: Basic
IPsec.Conf.2.1.3	Sequence Number Increment	EN: Basic
IPsec.Conf.2.1.4	Packet Too Big Reception	EN: Basic
IPsec.Conf.2.1.5 Part A	Receipt of No Next Header	EN: Basic
IPsec.Conf.2.1.5 Part B	Receipt of No Next Header (TFC)	EN: Advanced
IPsec.Conf.2.1.6	Bypass Policy	EN: Basic
IPsec.Conf.2.1.7	Discard Policy	EN: Basic
IPsec.Conf.2.1.8 Part A	Transport Mode Padding	EN: Basic
IPsec.Conf.2.1.8 Part B	Transport Mode Padding (TFC)	EN: Advanced
IPsec.Conf.2.1.9	Invalid SPI	EN: Basic
IPsec.Conf.2.1.10	Invalid ICV	EN: Basic
IPsec.Conf.2.2.1	Tunnel Mode with End-Node	EN: Basic
IPsec.Conf.2.2.2	Tunnel Mode with SGW	EN: Basic
IPsec.Conf.2.2.3	Tunnel Mode Select SPD	EN: Basic
IPsec.Conf.2.2.4 Part A	Tunnel Mode Padding	EN: Basic
IPsec.Conf.2.2.4 Part B	Tunnel Mode Padding (TFC)	EN: Advanced
IPsec.Conf.2.2.5	Tunnel Mode Fragmentation	EN: Basic
IPsec.Conf.3.1.1	Select SPD	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.3.1.2	Select SPD (Two Hosts)	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.3.1.3	Sequence Number Increment	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.3.1.4	Packet Too Big Transmission	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.3.1.5	Packet Too Big Forwarding	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.3.1.6 Part A	Receipt of No Next Header	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.3.1.6 Part B	Receipt of No Next Header (TFC)	SGW: Advanced
IPsec.Conf.3.1.7	Bypass Policy	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.3.1.8	Discard Policy	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.3.1.9 Part A	Transport Mode Padding	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.3.1.9 Part B	Transport Mode Padding (TFC)	SGW: Advanced
IPsec.Conf.3.1.10	Invalid SPI	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.3.1.11	Invalid ICV	SGW: Basic
IPsec.Conf.3.1.12	Tunnel Mode with End-Node	SGW: Basic
	End-Node ESP Algorithms	
IPsec.Conf.4.1.1	EN: Must run Test Parts marked "Basic"	EN:Basic
	SGW: All Test Parts are "Advanced"	SGW: Advanced
	End-Node ESP Algorithms	
IPsec.Conf.4.1.2	EN: Must run Test Parts marked "Basic"	EN:Basic
	SGW: All Test Parts are "Advanced"	SGW: Advanced
IDeag Conf 4 1 2	SGW ESP Algorithms	EN: N/A
IFSEC.U0111.4.1.3	SGW: Must run Test Parts marked "Basic"	SGW: Basic



# References

This test specification focuses on the following IPsec related RFCs.

Algorithms		
RFC2404	HMAC-SHA1	The Use of HMAC-SHA-1-96 within ESP and AH. C. Madson, R. Glenn. November 1998. (Format: TXT=13089 bytes) (Status: PROPOSED STANDARD) (DOI: 10.17487/RFC2404)
RFC2410	NULL Encryption	The NULL Encryption Algorithm and Its Use With IPsec. R. Glenn, S. Kent. November 1998. (Format: TXT=11239 bytes) (Status: PROPOSED STANDARD) (DOI: 10.17487/RFC2410)
RFC2451	ESP CBC	The ESP CBC-Mode Cipher Algorithms. R. Pereira, R. Adams. November 1998. (Format: TXT=26400 bytes) (Status: PROPOSED STANDARD) (DOI: 10.17487/RFC2451)
RFC3566	AES-XCBC- MAC	The AES-XCBC-MAC-96 Algorithm and Its Use With IPsec. S. Frankel, H. Herbert. September 2003. (Format: TXT=24645 bytes) (Status: PROPOSED STANDARD) (DOI: 10.17487/RFC3566)
RFC3602	AES-CBC	The AES-CBC Cipher Algorithm and Its Use with IPsec. S. Frankel, R. Glenn, S. Kelly. September 2003. (Format: TXT=30254 bytes) (Status: PROPOSED STANDARD) (DOI: 10.17487/RFC3602)
RFC3686	AES-CTR	Using Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) Counter Mode With IPsec Encapsulating Security Payload (ESP). R. Housley. January 2004. (Format: TXT=43777 bytes) (Status: PROPOSED STANDARD) (DOI: 10.17487/RFC3686)
RFC4106	GCM with ESP	The Use of Galois/Counter Mode (GCM) in IPsec Encapsulating Security Payload (ESP). J. Viega, D. McGrew. June 2005. (Format: TXT=23399 bytes) (Status: PROPOSED STANDARD) (DOI: 10.17487/RFC4106)
RFC4309	AES-CCM	Using Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) CCM Mode with IPsec Encapsulating Security Payload (ESP). R. Housley. December 2005. (Format: TXT=28998 bytes) (Status: PROPOSED STANDARD) (DOI: 10.17487/RFC4309)
RFC4543	GMAC with ESP	The Use of Galois Message Authentication Code (GMAC) in IPsec ESP and AH. D. McGrew, J. Viega. May 2006. (Format: TXT=29818 bytes) (Status: PROPOSED STANDARD) (DOI: 10.17487/RFC4543)
RFC4868	HMAC- SHA256, 384, 512	Using HMAC-SHA-256, HMAC-SHA-384, and HMAC-SHA-512 with IPsec. S. Kelly, S. Frankel. May 2007. (Format: TXT=41432 bytes) (Status: PROPOSED STANDARD) (DOI: 10.17487/RFC4868)
RFC7634	ChaCha20 Poly1305	ChaCha20, Poly1305, and Their Use in the Internet Key Exchange Protocol (IKE) and IPsec. Y. Nir. August 2015. (Format: TXT=27513 bytes) (Status: PROPOSED STANDARD) (DOI: 10.17487/RFC7634)
RFC8221	ESP Alg Req	Cryptographic Algorithm Implementation Requirements and Usage Guidance for Encapsulating Security Payload (ESP) and Authentication Header (AH). P. Wouters, D. Migault, J. Mattsson, Y. Nir, T. Kivinen. October 2017. (Format: TXT=33610 bytes) (Obsoletes RFC7321) (Status: PROPOSED STANDARD) (DOI: 10.17487/RFC8221)
RFC8247	IKEv2 Alg Reqs	Algorithm Implementation Requirements and Usage Guidance for the Internet Key Exchange Protocol Version 2 (IKEv2). Y. Nir, T. Kivinen, P. Wouters, D. Migault. September 2017. (Format: TXT=44739 bytes) (Obsoletes RFC4307) (Updates RFC7296) (Status: PROPOSED STANDARD) (DOI: 10.17487/RFC8247)
Architecture		
RFC4301	IPsec Arch	Security Architecture for the Internet Protocol. S. Kent, K. Seo. December 2005. (Format: TXT=262123 bytes) (Obsoletes RFC2401) (Updates RFC3168) (Updated by RFC6040, RFC7619) (Status: PROPOSED STANDARD) (DOI: 10.17487/RFC4301)
RFC4303	ESP	IP Encapsulating Security Payload (ESP). S. Kent. December 2005. (Format: TXT=114315 bytes) (Obsoletes RFC2406) (Status: PROPOSED STANDARD) (DOI: 10.17487/RFC4303)
RFC4443	ICMPv6	Internet Control Message Protocol (ICMPv6) for the Internet Protocol Version 6 (IPv6) Specification. A. Conta, S. Deering, M. Gupta, Ed March 2006. (Format: TXT=48969 bytes) (Obsoletes RFC2463) (Updates RFC2780) (Updated by RFC4884) (Status: DRAFT STANDARD) (DOI: 10.17487/RFC4443)
RFC7296	IKEv2	Internet Key Exchange Protocol Version 2 (IKEv2). C. Kaufman, P. Hoffman, Y. Nir, P. Eronen, T. Kivinen. October 2014. (Format: TXT=354358 bytes) (Obsoletes RFC5996) (Updated by RFC7427, RFC7670) (Also STD0079) (Status: INTERNET STANDARD) (DOI: 10.17487/RFC7296)



## **Test Topology**

IKEv2

#### Section 1.1.1: IKEv2 IKE\_SA\_INIT Initiator Section 1.2.1: IKEv2 IKE\_SA\_INIT Responder Section 1.1.4: IKEv2 INFORMATIONAL Initiator Section 1.2.5: IKEv2 INFORMATIONAL Responder

Tests in this section are applicable to IKEv2 End-nodes and Security Gateways equally, without modification. An appropriate topology may be used depending on the device type. For example, NUT (End-Node) may use Topology in Figure 1. A NUT (SGW) may use Topology in Figure 3.

#### Section 1.1.2: IKEv2 IKE\_AUTH Initiator Section 1.2.2: IKEv2 IKE\_AUTH Responder Section 1.2.3: IKEv2 IKE\_AUTH Exchange Tunnel Mode Responder

Tests in this section are applicable to IKEv2 End-nodes and Security Gateway devices, with minor accommodations. Security Gateway devices operate only in Tunnel Mode, and therefore may omit the Notify(USE\_TRANSPORT\_MODE) payload. End-node devices may also omit this payload, with no loss of generality. An appropriate topology may be used depending on the device type. For example, NUT (End-Node) may use Topology in Figure 1. A NUT (SGW) may use Topology in Figure 3.

#### End-Node vs. End-Node Transport/Tunnel Mode

- 1. Set global address of NUT via SLAAC(NUT\_Link0)
- 2. Set MTU of NUT via RA (MTU value is 1500 for Link0)
- 3. IPsec Transport Mode between NUT and EN1 and EN2



Figure 1 Topology for End-Node: Transport and Tunnel mode with End-Node



#### End-Node vs. SGW Tunnel Mode

- 1. Set global address to NUT by RA
- 2. Set MTU to NUT by RA (MTU value is 1500 for Link0)
- 3. IPsec Tunnel Mode between NUT and EN1.



Figure 2 Topology for End-Node: Tunnel mode with SGW



#### SGW: Tunnel Mode with End-Node

1. Set global address of NUT manually (NUT\_Link0, NUT\_Link1)

2. Set routing table of NUT manually (TR1\_Link1 for Link2)

3. Set MTU of NUT manually for Link0 and Link1 (MTU value is 1500 for Link0 and Link1)

4. IPsec Tunnel Mode between NUT and EN1.



#### Figure 3 Topology for SGW: Tunnel mode with End-Node



#### SGW: Tunnel Mode

1. Set global address of NUT manually (NUT\_Link0, NUT\_Link1)

2. Set routing table of NUT manually (TR1\_Link1 for Link2, Link3 and Link4)

3. Set MTU of NUT manually for Link0 and Link1 (MTU value is 1500 for Link0 and Link1)



Figure 4 Topology for SGW: Tunnel mode with SGW



# Description

Field	Description
Purpose	The 'Purpose' is the short statement describing what the test attempts to achieve. It is usually phrased as a simple assertion of the future or capability to be tested.
Initialization	The 'Initialization' section describes how to initialize and configure the NUT before starting each test. If a value is not provided, then the protocol's default value is used.
Database	The 'Database' section describes the needed configuration for the Policy Database for the test case.
Packets	The 'Packets' section describes the simple format of the packets used in the test. In this document, the packet name is represented in Italic style font.
Procedure	The 'Procedure' describes the step-by-step instructions for carrying out the test.
Observable Results	The 'Observable Results' section describes the expected result. The NUT passes the test if the results described in this section are obtained.
Possible Problems	The 'Possible Problems' section contains a description of known issues with the test procedure, which may affect test results in certain situations.



**Common Configurations** This section defines the Common Configurations referenced by various test cases.



# **Common Configuration: Sections 1, 2 and 3**

The Common Configurations described below should be utilized for test cases in Sections 1, 2, and 3, unless otherwise modified or specified by the test case. Both End-Node and SGW devices should utilize the configurations described below.

#### **Global Security Associations**

Unless otherwise specified, the dynamically negotiated settings and algorithms below are used for every test case.

IKEv2 is mandatory to claim IPsec support. Manual Keys may only be used for debugging.

IKEv2 Settings	
Authentication Method	PSK: IKETEST12345678!
ID Type (Local and Remote)	ID_IPV6_ADDR

IKE SA Configuration		
IKE Encryption Algorithm	ENCR_AES_CBC (128-bit)	
IKE Integrity Algorithm	AUTH_HMAC_SHA2_256_128	
IKE PRF Algorithm	PRF_HMAC_SHA2_256	
IKE DH Group	14 (2048-bit MODP Group)	

CHILD SA (ESP) Configuration		
ESP Encryption Algorithm	ENCR_AES_CBC (128-bit)	
ESP Integrity Algorithm	AUTH_HMAC_SHA2_256_128	

ESP		
ESP Encryption Algorithm	ENCR_AES_CBC (128-bit)	
ESP Integrity Algorithm	AUTH_HMAC_SHA2_256_128	



Manual Settings (if necessary for debugging)		
SA1-I		
Direction	Incoming	
SPI	0x1000	
Encryption Key	ipv6readaescin01	
Integrity Key	ipv6readylogoph2ipsecsha2256in01	
SA1-0		
Direction	Outgoing	
SPI	0x2000	
Encryption Key	ipv6readaescout1	
Integrity Key	ipv6readylogoph2ipsecsha2256out1	
SA2-I		
Direction	Incoming	
SPI	0x3000	
Encryption Key	ipv6readaescin02	
Integrity Key	ipv6readylogoph2ipsecsha2256in02	
SA2-0		
Direction	Outgoing	
SPI	0x4000	
Encryption Key	ipv6readaescout2	
Integrity Key	ipv6readylogoph2ipsecsha2256out2	



### **Common Configuration: Section 4**

Reference the list of algorithms specified in the Section 4.1: <u>ESP Common Configurations</u>.



# Section 1: IKEv2

This Chapter describes the tests for IKEv2 Initiator



1.1. IKEv2 Initiator 1.1.1. IKE\_SA\_INIT Exchange



# IPsec.Conf.1.1.1: IKE\_SA\_INIT Request Format Purpose:

To verify a properly formatted IKE\_SA\_INIT Request

#### **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 1.2, 2.10, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.9

#### Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration

#### **Procedure:**



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request. Verify fields according to <b>Table A</b> below.



```
Table A:
```

Payload		Field	Value	
		iSPI	Non-Zero	
		rSPI	0	
		Major Version	2	
	IKE Heade	er	Minor Version	0
			Exchange Type	IKE_SA_INIT (34)
			Flags	(00010000)2 = (16)10
			Message ID	0
			Last	0 or 2
			Proposal #	1
			Protocol ID	IKE (1)
			SPI Size	0
			# Transforms	4
			Last	0 or 3
		Transform	Transform Type	ENCR (1)
		Transform	Transform ID	(According to Common
				Configuration)
SA			Last	0 or 3
Pavload	Proposal	osal Transform	Transform Type	PRF (2)
		11 ansior m	Transform ID	(According to Common
				Configuration)
			Last	0 or 3
		Transform	Transform Type	INTEG (3)
		11 unioi or ini	Transform ID	(According to Common
			<b>T</b> .	Configuration)
			Last	0 or 3
		Transform	Transform Type	DH (4)
			Transform ID	(According to Common
			Configuration)	
KE Payload		DH Group	(According to Common	
		* 	Configuration)	
		Key Exchange Data	(According to DH	
			Unique value of length	
Nonce Payload		Nonce Data	16-256 octets	

#### **Possible Problems:**

- The IKE\_SA\_INIT Request may have additional payloads not described above and can be ignored. The payloads may be in any order.
- There may be more than one Proposal in the SA Payload. One proposal must match the above.
- SA Payload Proposal Transforms may be in any order



#### IPsec.Conf.1.1.1.2: IKE\_SA\_INIT Retransmission

#### **Purpose:**

To verify correct retransmission of IKE\_SA\_INIT Requests

#### **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 2.1, 2.2, 2.4

#### Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration

#### **Procedure:**

Part A: Retransmission



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.
2.	Wait for timeout.	The NUT retransmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request which is bitwise identical to the previously transmitted IKE_SA_INIT Request.



Part B: Retransmission Succeeds



Step	Action	Expected Result
3.	Initialize the NUT.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.
4.	Wait for timeout.	The NUT retransmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request which is bitwise identical to the previously transmitted IKE_SA_INIT Request.
5.	TN1 transmits an IKE_SA_INIT Response.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request.



#### Possible Problems:

• The length of the timeout for retransmission is unspecified, and usually not configurable by the user.



# IPsec.Conf.1.1.1.3: IKE\_SA\_INIT Cryptographic Algorithm Negotiation Purpose:

To verify algorithm negotiation during IKE\_SA\_INIT for IKE\_SA.

#### **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 2.7, 3.3, 3.3.2, 3.3.5

#### Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - $\circ$   $\,$  Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration
  - Use the following Transforms corresponding to each part:

#### **Common Configuration:**

Туре	Transform
ENCR (1)	ENCR_AES_CBC 128-bit (12)
PRF (2)	PRF_HMAC_SHA2_256 (5)
INTEG (3)	AUTH_HMAC_SHA2_256_128 (12)
DH (4)	2048-bit MODP Group (14)

The device should send the transforms specified in the Common Configuration. The table below substitutes the given Transform Type and Transform ID according to the part specified.

Part	Transform Type	Transform ID
	ENCR (1)	ENCR_AES_CBC 128-bit (12)
٨	PRF (2)	PRF_HMAC_SHA2_256 (5)
A	INTEG (3)	AUTH_HMAC_SHA2_256_128 (12)
	DH (4)	2048-bit MODP Group (14)
B - AES256	ENCR (1)	ENCR_AES_CBC 256-bit (12)
с силсил	ENCR (1)	ENCR_CHACHA20_POLY1305 (28)
C-CHACHA	INTEG (3)	Omitted or NONE (0)
D AESCCM	ENCR (1)	ENCR_AES_GCM_16 128-bit (20)
D - AESGUM	INTEG (3)	Omitted or NONE (0)
E AESCOM	ENCR (1)	ENCR_AES_CCM_8 128-bit (14)
E - AESUUM	INTEG (3)	Omitted or NONE (0)
E SUAE12	PRF (2)	PRF_HMAC_SHA2_512 (7)
г - эпаэ12	INTEG (3)	AUTH_HMAC_SHA2_512_256 (14)
G - AESXCBC	PRF (2)	PRF_AES128_XCBC (4)



	INTEG (3)	AUTH_AES_XCBC_96 (5)	
H - DH19	DH (4)	256-bit random ECP group (19)	

#### **Procedure:**



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request with transforms according to the table above.
2.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request.

#### **Possible Problems:**

• None.



### IPsec.Conf.1.1.1.4: IKE\_SA\_INIT Exchange with N(COOKIE)

#### **Purpose:**

To verify correct processing and transmission of COOKIE notifications.

#### **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 2.6, 3.10.1

#### Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration

#### **Procedure:**



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.
2.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response containing only a Notifiy Payload of type COOKIE (16390) and valid Notification Data.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request unchanged, except for the addition of a COOKIE Notification Payload as the first payload.



#### Possible Problems:

• None.



# IPsec.Conf.1.1.1.5: IKE\_SA\_INIT Exchange with N(INVALID\_KE\_PAYLOAD) Purpose:

To verify correct processing of N(INVALID\_KE\_PAYLOAD).

#### **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 1.2, 2.21.1, 3.10.1

#### Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration
  - Configure the DUT to prefer a different DH Group from the one specified in the Common Configuration.

#### **Procedure:**



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.
2.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response containing a Notify Paylaod of type INVALID_KE_PAYLOAD (17), specifying the preferred DH Group from the Common Configuration in the Data.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request. It has a KE Payload using the preferred group.



3.	TN1 transmits a valid	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH
	IKE_SA_INIT Response.	Request.

#### **Possible Problems:**

• The NUT may only support a single DH Group which makes this test impossible.



#### IPsec.Conf.1.1.1.6: IKE\_SA\_INIT Exchange; COOKIE and INVALID KE

#### **Purpose:**

To verify correct processing and transmission of COOKIE notifications when combined with INVALID\_KE\_PAYLOAD notifications.

#### **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 2.6, 2.6.1

#### Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration
  - Configure the DUT to prefer a different DH Group from the one specified in the Common Configuration.

#### **Procedure:**





#### FIGURE 1 - INITIATOR INCLUDES COOKIE IN NEXT REPLY




#### $FIGURE \ 2 \ - \ INITIATOR \ DOES \ NOT \ INCLUDE \ COOKIE \ IN \ NEXT \ Reply$

Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.
2.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response containing only a Notifiy Payload of type COOKIE (16390) and valid Notification Data.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request unchanged, except for the addition of a COOKIE Notification Payload as the first payload.
3.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response containing a Notify Paylaod of type INVALID_KE_PAYLOAD (17), specifying the preferred DH Group from the Common Configuration in the Data.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request, with a KE Payload using the preferred group. If it also contains a Notify Payload of type COOKIE (Figure 1) proceed to step 5, otherwise, proceed to step 4 (Figure 2),.
4.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request, with a KE Payload using the



	containing a Notify Payload of type COOKIE and valid Notifcation Data.	preferred group. It also contains a Notify Payload of type COOKIE.
5.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request.

#### Part B: Unoptimized Responder



Step	Action	Expected Result
6.	Initialize the NUT.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.
7.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response containing only a Notifiy	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request unchanged, except for the



Payload of type COOKIE (16390) and valid Notification Data.addition of a COOKIE Notification Payload as the first payload.Notification Data.Payload as the first payload.Notification Data.TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response containing a Notify Payload of type INVALID_KE_PAYLOAD (17), specifying the preferred DH Group from the Common Configuration in the Data.The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request. It has a KE Payload using the preferred group. It may also contain a Notify Payload of type COOKIE.9.TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response containing a Notify Payload of type COOKIE and valid Notifcation Data.The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request, with a KE Payload using the preferred group. It also contains a Notify Payload of type COOKIE.				
(16390) and valid Notification Data.Payload as the first payload.Notification Data.TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response containing a Notify Paylaod of type INVALID_KE_PAYLOAD (17), specifying the preferred DH Group from the Common Configuration in the Data.The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request. It has a KE Payload using the preferred group. It may also contain a Notify Payload of type COOKIE.9.TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response containing a Notify Payload of type COOKIE and valid Notifcation Data.The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request, with a KE Payload using the preferred group. It also contains a Notify Payload of type COOKIE.		Payload of type COOKIE	addition of a COOKIE Notification	
Notification Data.TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response containing a Notify Paylaod of type INVALID_KE_PAYLOAD (17), specifying the preferred DH Group from the Common Configuration in the Data.The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request. It has a KE Payload using the preferred group. It may also contain a Notify Payload of type COOKIE.9.TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response containing a Notify Payload of type COOKIE and valid Notifcation Data.The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request, with a KE Payload using the preferred group. It also contains a Notify Payload of type COOKIE.		(16390) and valid	Payload as the first payload.	
N1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response containing a Notify Paylaod of type INVALID_KE_PAYLOAD (17), specifying the preferred DH Group from the Common Configuration in the Data.The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request. It has a KE Payload using the preferred group. It may also contain a Notify Payload of type COOKIE.9.TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response containing a Notify Payload of type COOKIE and valid Notifcation Data.The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request, with a KE Payload using the preferred group. It also contains a Notify Payload of type COOKIE.		Notification Data.		
<ul> <li>8. containing a Notify Paylaod of type INVALID_KE_PAYLOAD (17), specifying the preferred DH Group from the Common Configuration in the Data.</li> <li>9. TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response containing a Notify Payload of type COOKIE and valid Notifcation Data.</li> <li>TN1 transmits a valid</li> </ul>		TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response	The NUT transmite a valid IKE SA INIT	
<ul> <li>(17), specifying the preferred DH Group from the Common Configuration in the Data.</li> <li>9. TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response containing a Notify Payload of type COOKIE and valid Notifcation Data.</li> <li>9. TN1 transmits a valid</li> </ul>	8.	containing a Notify Paylaod of type INVALID_KE_PAYLOAD	Request. It has a KE Payload using the preferred group. It may also contain a Notify Payload of type COOKIE.	
DifferenceConfiguration in the Data.TN1 transmits a validIKE_SA_INIT Responsecontaining a Notify Payload oftype COOKIE and validNotifcation Data.TN1 transmits a validTN1 transmits a valid		(17), specifying the preferred		
9.TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response containing a Notify Payload of type COOKIE and valid Notifcation Data.The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request, with a KE Payload using the preferred group. It also contains a Notify Payload of type COOKIE.10.TN1 transmits a validThe NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH		Configuration in the Data.		
9.containing a Notify Payload of type COOKIE and valid Notifcation Data.Request, with a KE Payload using the preferred group. It also contains a 	9.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request with a KE Payload using the	
type COOKIE and valid     proformed group it also contains a       Notifcation Data.     Notify Payload of type COOKIE.       TN1 transmits a valid     The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH		containing a Notify Payload of	nreferred group. It also contains a	
TN1 transmits a valid The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH		type COOKIE and valid	Notify Payload of type COOKIE.	
TN1 transmits a valid The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH		NULIILALIUII Dala.		
	10.	TN1 transmits a valid	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH	
IKE_SA_INIT Response. Request.		IKE_SA_INIT Response.	Request.	

#### **Possible Problems:**

• The NUT may only support a single DH Group which makes this test impossible.



# IPsec.Conf.1.1.1.7: IKE\_SA\_INIT inconsistent response proposal

# **Purpose:**

To verify correct handling of an IKE\_SA\_INIT Response with an inconsistent SA Proposal.

# **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 3.3.6

# Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration

# **Procedure:**



Step	Action	Expected Result	
1.	Initialize the NUT.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.	
2.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response containing an SA Proposal that does not match any of the Requested Proposals.	The NUT does not transmit a valid IKE_AUTH Request.	

# **Possible Problems:**



# IPsec.Conf.1.1.1.8: IKE\_SA\_INIT Forward Compatibility

# **Purpose:**

To verify forward compatibility using the reserved and version fields.

# **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 2.5, 3.1

# Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration

# **Procedure:**



Part A: Non-zero Reserved Bits

Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.
2.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response. The reserved bits in the IKE Header are set to 1.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request.

## Part B: Version Bit Set

Step	Action	Expected Result	
3.	Initialize the NUT.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.	



4.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response. The version bit in the Flags field is	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request.
	Sel.	

# **Possible Problems:**



# 1.1.2. IKE\_AUTH Exchange



# IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.1: IKE\_AUTH Request Format

# **Purpose:**

To verify a properly formatted IKE\_AUTH Request

# **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 1.2, 2.15, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.5, 3.8, 3.10, 3.13, 3.14

# Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration

# **Procedure:**



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.
2.	TN1 transmits an IKE_SA_INIT Response.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request. Verify fields according to <b>Table A</b> (Encrypted) and <b>Table B</b> (Decrypted Payloads) below.



#### Table A:

Payload	Field	Value
	iSPI	Non-Zero
		Non-Zero
	rSPI	(From IKE_SA_INIT
		Response)
WE Useder	Major Vorsion	Encrypted and
IKE Header	Major version	Authenticated (46)
	Minor Version	2
	Exchange Type	0
	Flags	IKE_AUTH (35)
	Message ID	(00010000)2 = (16)10
	Initialization Vector	Valid
Engunted Dayload	Encrypted IKE Payloads	Valid
Encrypted Payload	Padding/Pad Length	Valid
	Integrity Checksum Data	Valid

# Table B (Payloads within Encrypted IKE Payload):

Payload		Field	Value	
ID Payload		ID Type	ID_IPV6_ADDR (5)	
		ID Data	Valid	
		Authentication Mathed	Shared Key Message	
Authent	tication Pay	load	Authentication Method	Integrity Code (2)
			Authentication Data	Valid
			Payload Length	8
			Protocol ID	0
Not	tify Payload	l	SPI Size	0
			Notify Massage Type	USE_TRANSPORT_MODE
			Notify Message Type	(16391)
	Proposal -		Last	0 or 2
			Proposal #	1
			Protocol ID	ESP (3)
			SPI Size	4
			SPI	Valid
SA			# Transforms	3
Payload			Last	0 or 3
			Transform Type	ENCR (1)
			Transform ID	(According to Common
				Configuration)
			Last	0 or 3
			Transform Type	INTEG (3)



			Transform ID	(According to Common Configuration)
			Last	0 or 3
			Transform Type	ESN (5)
			Transform ID	(According to Common Configuration)
			# Traffic Selectors	1 or 2
			ТЅ Туре	TS_IPV6_ADDR_RANGE (8)
			IP Protocol ID	0
тс;			Selector Length	40
151	Traffic Selector		Start Port	0
			End Port	65535
			Starting Address	NUT IPv6 Address
			Ending Address	NUT IPv6 Address
TSr			# Traffic Selectors	1 or 2
		ТЅ Туре	TS_IPV6_ADDR_RANGE (8)	
			IP Protocol ID	0
	Traffic Selector	Selector Length	40	
		Start Port	0	
		End Port	65535	
		Starting Address	TN1 IPv6 Address	
		Ending Address	TN1 IPv6 Address	

#### **Possible Problems:**

- The IKE\_AUTH Request may have additional payloads not described above and can be ignored. The payloads may be in any order.
- There may be more than one Proposal in the SA Payload. One proposal must match the above.
- SA Payload Proposal Transforms may be in any order
- There may be more than one traffic selector in the TSi and TSr payloads. The last traffic selector must match the above.



# IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.2: IKE\_AUTH Exchange Succeeds

# **Purpose:**

To verify a IKE\_AUTH Exchange completed successfully under normal conditions.

# **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 1.2

# Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration



## **Procedure:**



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.
2.	TN1 transmits an IKE_SA_INIT Response.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request.
3.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response.	
4.	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Request as negotiated.	The NUT transmits a valid ESP ICMPv6 Echo Reply as negotiated.

#### **Possible Problems:**



# IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.3: IKE\_AUTH Retransmission

# **Purpose:**

To verify correct retransmission of IKE\_AUTH Requests.

# **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 2.1, 2.2, 2.4

# Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration



# Part A: Retransmission Procedure:



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.
2.	TN1 transmits an IKE_SA_INIT Response.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request.
3.	Wait for timeout.	The NUT retransmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request which is bitwise identical to the previously transmitted IKE_AUTH Request.



#### Part B: Retransmission Fails



Step	Action	Expected Result
4.	Initialize the NUT.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.
5.	TN1 transmits an IKE_SA_INIT Response.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request.
6.	Wait for timeout.	The NUT retransmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request which is bitwise identical to the previously transmitted IKE_AUTH Request.
7.	Wait for final timeout.	The NUT ceases to retransmit IKE_AUTH Request messages.
8.	TN1 transmits an IKE_AUTH Response	
9.	TN1 Transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Request as negotiated.	The NUT does not transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Reply as negotiated.



Part C: Retransmission Succeeds Procedure:



Step	Action	Expected Result
10.	Initialize the NUT.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.
11.	TN1 transmits an IKE_SA_INIT Response.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request.
12.	Wait for timeout.	The NUT retransmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request which is bitwise identical to the previously transmitted IKE_AUTH Request.
13.	TN1 transmits an IKE_AUTH Response	
14.	TN1 Transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Request as negotiated.	The NUT transmits a valid ESP ICMPv6 Echo Reply as negotiated.

#### **Possible Problems:**

• The length of the timeout for retransmission is unspecified, and usually not configurable by the user.



# IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.4: State Synchronization

# Purpose:

To verify IKEv2 state is not lost due to cryptographically unprotected messages.

# **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 2.4

# Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - $\circ$   $\,$  Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration

# **Procedure:**



#### Part A: ICMPv6



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.
2.	TN1 transmits an IKE_SA_INIT Response.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request.
3.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response.	^
4.	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Request as negotiated.	The NUT transmits a valid ESP ICMPv6 Echo Reply as negotiated.
5.	TR1 transmits an ICMPv6 Destination Unreachable Message to the NUT.	
6.	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Request as negotiated.	The NUT transmits a valid ESP ICMPv6 Echo Reply as negotiated.



#### Part B: IKE

Payload	Field	Value
	IKE_SA Initiator's SPI	any
	IKE_SA Responder's SPI	any
	Next Payload	41 (N)
	Major Version	2
	Minor Version	0
	Exchange Type	37 (INFORMATIONAL)
IKE Header	X (bits 0-2 of Flags)	0
	I (bit 3 of Flags)	any
	V (bit 4 of Flags)	0
	R (bit 5 of Flags)	0
	X (bits 6-7 Flags)	0
	Message ID	any
	Length	any
	Next Payload	0
	Critical	0
	Reserved	0
Notify Payload	Payload Length	8
	Protocol ID	3 (ESP)
	SPI Size	0
	Notify Message Type	11 (INVALID_SPI)

PACKET 1 - CRYPTOGRAPHICALLY UNPROTECTED IKE MESSAGE





Step	Action	Expected Result
7.	Initialize the NUT.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.
8.	TN1 transmits an IKE_SA_INIT Response.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request.
9.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response.	
10.	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Request as negotiated.	The NUT transmits a valid ESP ICMPv6 Echo Reply as negotiated.
11.	TN1 transmits a cryptographically unprotected IKE Message	
12.	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Request as negotiated.	The NUT transmits a valid ESP ICMPv6 Echo Reply as negotiated.

#### **Possible Problems:**



# IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.5: IKE\_AUTH Cryptographic Algorithm Negotiation Purpose:

To verify algorithm negotiation during IKE\_AUTH for ESP CHILD\_SA

#### **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 2.7, 3.3.2, 3.3.5

#### Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - $\circ$   $\,$  Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration
  - Use the following Transforms corresponding to each part:

#### **Common Configuration:**

Туре	Transform
ENCR (1)	ENCR_AES_CBC 128-bit (12)
INTEG (3)	AUTH_HMAC_SHA2_256_128 (12)
ESN (5)	No ESN (0)

The device should send the transforms specified in the Common Configuration. The table below substitutes the given Transform Type and Transform ID according to the part specified.

Part	Transform Type	Transform ID
٨	ENCR (1)	ENCR_AES_CBC 128-bit (12)
A	INTEG (3)	AUTH_HMAC_SHA2_256_128 (12)
R - AE\$256	ENCR (1)	ENCR_AES_CBC 256-bit (12)
D - AE3230	INTEG (3)	AUTH_HMAC_SHA2_256_128 (12)
с силсил	ENCR (1)	ENCR_CHACHA20_POLY1305 (28)
C - CHACHA	INTEG (3)	Omitted or NONE (0)
D AESCCM	ENCR (1)	ENCR_AES_GCM_16 128-bit (20)
D - AESGUM	INTEG (3)	Omitted or NONE (0)
E AESCOM	ENCR (1)	ENCR_AES_CCM_8 128-bit (14)
E - AESCUM	INTEG (3)	Omitted or NONE (0)
	ENCR (1)	ENCR_NULL (11)
F-NULL	INTEG (3)	AUTH_HMAC_SHA2_256_128 (12)
C SUAE12	ENCR (1)	ENCR_NULL (11)
G-3NA312	INTEG (3)	AUTH_HMAC_SHA2_512_256 (14)
H - AESXCBC	ENCR (1)	ENCR_NULL (11)



INTEG (3)

AUTH\_AES\_XCBC\_96 (5)

#### **Procedure:**



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.
2.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request with transforms according to the table above.
3.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response.	
4.	TN1 Transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Request as negotiated.	The NUT transmits a valid ESP ICMPv6 Echo Reply as negotiated.

#### **Possible Problems:**

None. •



# IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.6: IKE\_AUTH N(NO\_PROPOSAL\_CHOSEN)

#### **Purpose:**

To verify an IKE\_SA remains setup after reception of N(NO\_PROPOSAL\_CHOSEN) in IKE\_AUTH.

#### **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 1.2, 2.7, 2.21.2, 3.10.1

#### Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - $\circ$   $\,$  Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration



#### **Procedure:**



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.
2.	TN1 transmits an IKE_SA_INIT Response.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request.
3.	TN1 transmits an IKE_AUTH Response. It does not contain an SA Payload, or any Traffic Selector Payload. It does contain a Notify Payload of type NO_PROPOSAL_CHOSEN (14). It is otherwise valid.	
4.	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Request as negotiated.	The NUT does not transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Reply as negotiated.
5.	TN1 transmits an INFORMATIONAL Request with an Encrypted Payload with no data.	The NUT transmits an INFORMATIONAL Response with an Encrypted Payload with no data.

#### **Possible Problems:**



## IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.7: IKE\_AUTH Inconsistent response proposal

#### **Purpose:**

To verify an IKE\_SA remains setup after reception of an KE\_AUTH response with an inconsistent proposal.

#### **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 2.21.2, 3.3.6

#### Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration



#### **Procedure:**



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.
2.	TN1 transmits an IKE_SA_INIT Response.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request.
3.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response containing an SA Proposal that does not match any of the Requested Proposals.	
4.	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Request as negotiated.	The NUT does not transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Reply as negotiated.
5.	TN1 transmits an INFORMATIONAL Request with an Encrypted Payload with no data.	The NUT transmits an INFORMATIONAL Response with an Encrypted Payload with no data.

#### **Possible Problems:**



## IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.8: Traffic Selector Negotiation

#### **Purpose:**

To verify a device is able to process an IKE\_AUTH Response with Traffic Selectors configured to be more narrow than was originally proposed.

#### **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 2.9

## Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration
  - $\circ$  ~ No additional Traffic Selector Configuration is done



## **Procedure:**



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.
2.	TN1 transmits an IKE_SA_INIT Response.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request. It contains traffic selectors matching ANY protocol, all ports, and addresses specific to TN1 and the NUT. It is unchanged from the Common Configuration.
3.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response. The traffic selectors in the response specify an IP Protocol ID of TCP (6), for TSi and TSr.	
4.	TN1 transmits a TCP-SYN packet with IPsec ESP to a closed port on the NUT.	The NUT transmits a valid ESP TCP RST to TN1.
5.	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Request as negotiated.	The NUT does not transmit an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Reply, nor does it transmit a non-encrypted ICMPv6 Echo Reply.

#### **Possible Problems:**



- The NUT may transmit a CREATE\_CHILD\_SA Request with Traffic Selectors matching ICMPv6 Echo Reply. This does not indicate a failure.
- A Security Gateway device may have additional Traffic Selectors, or Traffic Selectors representing a range of addresses. This should not be considered a failure.



#### IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.9: Peer Identification

#### **Purpose:**

To verify authentication using different Identification Types.

#### **References:**

• [RFC 7296] Sections 2.15, 3.5

#### Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration
  - Configure the devices to authenticate using the Identification Types according to each part specified in the table below.

#### TABLE 1 - IDENTIFICATION TYPES

Part	NUT ID Type	TN1 ID Type
Α	ID_IPV6_ADDR	ID_IPV6_ADDR
В	ID_IPV6_ADDR	ID_FQDN
С	ID_IPV6_ADDR	ID_RFC822_ADDR
D	ID_FQDN	ID_IPV6_ADDR
Ε	ID_FQDN	ID_FQDN
F	ID_FQDN	ID_RFC822_ADDR
G	ID_RFC822_ADDR	ID_IPV6_ADDR
Н	ID_RFC822_ADDR	ID_FQDN
Ι	ID_RFC822_ADDR	ID_RFC822_ADDR



#### **Procedure:**



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.
2.	TN1 transmits an IKE_SA_INIT Response.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request. The IDi Payload contains an ID Type according to the part in the NUT ID Type column in the table above (Table 1).
3.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response. The IDr Payload contains an ID Type according to the part in the TN1 ID Type column in the table above (Table 1).	
4.	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Request as negotiated.	The NUT transmits a valid ESP ICMPv6 Echo Reply as negotiated.



#### **Possible Problems:**



# IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.10: Authentication via RSA Digital Signature Purpose:

To verify authentication of a peer via RSA Digital Signature

# **References:**

• [RFC 7296] Sections 2.15, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7, 3.8

# Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration
  - Configure the devices to use the RSA Digital Signature (1) Authentication Method for both DUT and TN1, in place of Shared Key (3).



#### **Procedure:**



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.
2.	TN1 transmits an IKE_SA_INIT Response. The Response contains a CERTREQ Payload specifying X.509 Certificate - Signature (4) and the data corresponding to the preferred CA.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request. The Authentication Payload specifies an Auth Method of RSA Digital Signature (1), and contains valid authentication data. If the request contains a CERTREQ Payload, it is valid and formatted properly. If the request contains a CERT Payload, it is valid and formatted properly.
3.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response. It contains a CERT Payload, it is valid and formatted properly. The certificate specified is the one used for authentication. The Authentication Payload specifies an Auth Method of	



	RSA Digital Signature (1), and contains valid authentication data.	
4.	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Request as negotiated.	The NUT transmits a valid ESP ICMPv6 Echo Reply as negotiated.

#### **Possible Problems:**



# IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.11: Authentication via PSK

# **Purpose:**

To verify authentication of a peer via Shared Key Message Integrity Code

## **References:**

• [RFC 7296] Sections 2.15

# Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration

# **Procedure:**






Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.
2.	TN1 transmits an IKE_SA_INIT Response.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request. The Authentication Payload specifies an Auth Method of Shared Key Message Integrity Code (2), and contains valid authentication data.
3.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response. The Authentication Payload specifies an Auth Method of Shared Key Message Integrity Code (2), and contains valid authentication data.	
4.	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Request as negotiated.	The NUT transmits a valid ESP ICMPv6 Echo Reply as negotiated.





#### TABLE 2 - HEX PSK

PSK	NUT and TN1
Local & Remote	0xabadcafeabadcafeabadcafe

Step	Action	Expected Result
5.	Initialize the NUT. Use the HEX PSK specified in the table above. (Table 2)	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.
6.	TN1 transmits an IKE_SA_INIT Response.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request. The Authentication Payload specifies an Auth Method of Shared Key Message Integrity Code (2), and contains valid authentication data.
7.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response. The Authentication Payload specifies an Auth Method of Shared Key Message Integrity Code (2), and contains valid authentication data.	
8.	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Request as negotiated.	The NUT transmits a valid ESP ICMPv6 Echo Reply as negotiated.





Part C: Authentication with PSK fails



#### TABLE 3 - MISMATCHED PSK

Р	SK NUT	TN1
Local & Rem	ote "IKETEST-1234"	" "NOMATCH"
Γ		
Step	Action	Expected Result
9.	Initialize the NUT. Use the HEX PSK specified in the table above. (Table 3)	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.
10.	TN1 transmits an IKE_SA_INIT Response.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request. The Authentication Payload specifies an Auth Method of Shared Key Message Integrity Code (2), and contains valid authentication data.
11.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response. The Authentication Payload specifies an Auth Method of Shared Key Message Integrity Code (2), and contains valid authentication data.	



12.	TN1 transmits an INFORMATIONAL Request liveness check with no payloads except for an empty Encrypted Payload.	The NUT does not transmit a response to the liveness check.
13.	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Request as negotiated.	The NUT does not transmit an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Reply.

#### **Possible Problems:**

• **Possible Problem Part B:** This is a true "byte" representation of a key. This key cannot be represented via ASCII input, and must be handled separately. For example: The ASCII byte representation of "abadcafeabadcafeabadcafeabadcafe" is 0x

616261646361666561626164636166656162616463616665616261646361666 5, which is not equal to the HEX PSK given.

• **Possible Problem Part C:** The NUT MAY send an INFORMATIONAL Response without cryptographic protection with a notification of INVALID\_IKE\_SPI.



## IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.12: IKE\_AUTH Forward Compatibility

## **Purpose:**

To verify that the contents of the IKE\_AUTH Response Reserved field are ignored.

## **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 2.5, 3.1

## Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration



#### **Procedure:**



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.
2.	TN1 transmits an IKE_SA_INIT Response.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request.
3.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response. The reserved bits in the IKE Header are set to 1.	
4.	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Request as negotiated.	The NUT transmits a valid ESP ICMPv6 Echo Reply as negotiated.

## **Possible Problems:**



## IPsec.Conf.1.1.2.13: IKE\_AUTH Unrecognized Error

## **Purpose:**

To verify correct handling of unrecognized error notifications.

## **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 3.10.1

## Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - $\circ$   $\,$  Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration

## **Procedure:**





Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.
2	TN1 transmits an	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH
2.	IKE_SA_INIT Response.	Request.
	TN1 transmits a valid	
	IKE_AUTH Response. It	
3.	contains a Notify payload of	
	unrecognized Notify Message	
	Type value (16383).	
	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6	The NUT does not transmit a valid ESP
4.	Echo Request as negotiated.	ICMPv6 Echo Reply as negotiated.





Step	Action	Expected Result
5.	Initialize the NUT.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.
6	TN1 transmits an	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH
0.	IKE_SA_INIT Response.	Request.
	TN1 transmits a valid	
	IKE_AUTH Response. It	
7.	contains a Notify payload of	
	unrecognized Notify Message	
	Type value (65535).	
0	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6	The NUT transmits a valid ESP ICMPv6
8.	Echo Request as negotiated.	Echo Reply as negotiated.

## **Possible Problems:**



1.1.3. CREATE\_CHILD\_SA Exchange



## **1.1.4. INFORMATIONAL Exchange**



#### IPsec.Conf.1.1.4.1: IKE\_SA Deletion

#### **Purpose:**

To verify transmission of IKE\_SA Delete Payload.

#### **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 1.4.1, 2.4

## Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration



#### **Procedure:**



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.
2.	TN1 transmits an IKE_SA_INIT Response.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request.
3.	Wait for IKE_SA Lifetime to expire, or cause the NUT to delete the IKE_SA.	The NUT transmits a valid INFORMATIONAL Request with a DELETE Payload according to Table A below.
4.	TN1 transmits an INFORMATIONAL Response to delete the IKE_SA.	The NUT does not transmit any further IKEv2 messages using this IKE_SA.

#### Table A:

Payload	Field	Value
DELETE Payload	Protocol ID	IKE (1)
	SPI Size	0

#### **Possible Problems:**

- It may be impossible to cause the device to delete an SA.
- The NUT may transmit an INFORMATIONAL Request with a Delete Payload including 2 (ESP) as Protocol ID, 4 as SPI Size and SPI value to delete CHILD\_SA before transmitting an INFORMATIONAL Request to delete IKE\_SA.



## IPsec.Conf.1.1.4.2: CHILD\_SA Deletion

#### **Purpose:**

To verify transmission of CHILD\_SA Delete Payload.

#### **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 1.4.1, 2.4

## Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration

## Procedure:



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.
2.	TN1 transmits an IKE_SA_INIT Response.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request.
3.	Wait for CHILD_SA Lifetime to expire, or cause the NUT to delete the CHILD_SA.	The NUT transmits a valid INFORMATIONAL Request with a DELETE Payload according to Table A below.



#### Table A:

Payload	Field	Value
	Protocol ID	ESP (1)
DELETE Davload	SPI Size	4
DELETE Payload	# SPIs	1
	SPI	CHILD_SA SPI

#### **Possible Problems:**

- It may be impossible to cause the device to delete an SA.
- The NUT may transmit an INFORMATIONAL Request with a Delete Payload to delete the IKE\_SA, which deletes all CHILD\_SA SPIs implicitly.



1.2. Responder 1.2.1. IKE\_SA\_INIT Exchange



# IPsec.Conf.1.2.1.1: IKE\_SA\_INIT Response Format Purpose:

To verify a properly formatted IKE\_SA\_INIT Response

#### **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 1.2, 2.10, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.9

## Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration

#### **Procedure:**



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	TN1 transmits an IKE_SA_INIT Request.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response. Verify fields according to <b>Table A</b> below. The accepted SA must align with that proposed by TN1.



#### Table A:

Payload		Field	Value	
		iSPI	Non-Zero, equal to iSPI in IKE_SA_INIT Request	
			rSPI	Non-Zero
			Major Version	2
	IKE Heade	er	Minor Version	0
			Exchange Type	IKE_SA_INIT (34)
			Flags	(00000100)2 = (4)10
			Message ID	0
			Last	0 or 2
			Proposal #	1
			Protocol ID	IKE (1)
			SPI Size	0
			# Transforms	4
			Last	0 or 3
		<b>m</b> (	Transform Type	ENCR (1)
SA Payload		Transform	Transform ID	(According to Common Configuration)
			Last	0 or 3
	Proposal	Transform	Transform Type	PRF (2)
		Transform	Transform ID	(According to Common Configuration)
		Transform	Last	0 or 3
			Transform Type	INTEG (3)
			Transform ID	(According to Common Configuration)
			Last	0 or 3
		Transform	Transform Type	DH (4)
		Transform	Transform ID	(According to Common Configuration)
KE Payload		DH Group	(According to Common Configuration)	
		Key Exchange Data	(According to DH Group)	
Nonce Payload		Nonce Data	Unique value of length 16-256 octets	

#### **Possible Problems:**

- The IKE\_SA\_INIT Response may have additional payloads not described above and can be ignored. The payloads may be in any order.
- SA Payload Proposal Transforms may be in any order
- SA Payload Proposal Transforms may be in any order



## IPsec.Conf.1.2.1.2: IKE\_SA\_INIT Retransmission

## **Purpose:**

To verify correct retransmission of IKE\_SA\_INIT Requests

## **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 2.1, 2.2, 2.4

## Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration

## Procedure:



Step	Action	Expected Result
1	TN1 transmits an	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT
1.	IKE_SA_INIT Request.	Response.
2.	Wait 10 seconds.	The NUT does not transmit any IKEv2
		packets for the newly initiated session.
	TN1 retransmits the	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT
3.	IKE_SA_INIT Request from	Response that is bitwise identical to the
	Step 1.	one transmitted in Step 1.

#### **Possible Problems:**





# IPsec.Conf.1.2.1.3: IKE\_SA\_INIT Cryptographic Algorithm Negotiation Purpose:

To verify algorithm negotiation during IKE\_SA\_INIT for IKE\_SA.

## **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 2.7, 3.3, 3.3.2, 3.3.5

## Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration
  - Use the following Transforms corresponding to each part:



## **Common Configuration:**

Туре	Transform
ENCR (1)	ENCR_AES_CBC 128-bit (12)
PRF (2)	PRF_HMAC_SHA2_256 (5)
INTEG (3)	AUTH_HMAC_SHA2_256_128 (12)
DH (4)	2048-bit MODP Group (14)

The device should send the transforms specified in the Common Configuration. The table below substitutes the given Transform Type and Transform ID according to the part specified.

Part	Transform Type	Transform ID
	ENCR (1)	ENCR_AES_CBC 128-bit (12)
۸	PRF (2)	PRF_HMAC_SHA2_256 (5)
А	INTEG (3)	AUTH_HMAC_SHA2_256_128 (12)
	DH (4)	2048-bit MODP Group (14)
B - AES256	ENCR (1)	ENCR_AES_CBC 256-bit (12)
с силсил	ENCR (1)	ENCR_CHACHA20_POLY1305 (28)
С-СПАСПА	INTEG (3)	Omitted or NONE (0)
D AESCCM	ENCR (1)	ENCR_AES_GCM_16 128-bit (20)
D - AESGUM	INTEG (3)	Omitted or NONE (0)
E AESCOM	ENCR (1)	ENCR_AES_CCM_8 128-bit (14)
E - AESCUM	INTEG (3)	Omitted or NONE (0)
E CHAE12	PRF (2)	PRF_HMAC_SHA2_512 (7)
г - <b>з</b> паз12	INTEG (3)	AUTH_HMAC_SHA2_512_256 (14)
C AESVCDC	PRF (2)	PRF_AES128_XCBC (4)
G - AESAUBU	INTEG (3)	AUTH_AES_XCBC_96 (5)
H - DH19	DH (4)	256-bit random ECP group (19)

#### **Procedure:**





Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request with transforms according to the table above.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response.
2.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request encrypted with the algorithms according to the table above.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response encrypted with the algorithms according to the table above.

## **Possible Problems:**



## IPsec.Conf.1.2.1.4: IKE\_SA\_INIT Version Number

## **Purpose:**

To verify correct processing of a higher version number.

## **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 2.1, 2.2, 2.4

## Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration

## **Procedure:**



#### Part A: Higher Minor Version Number



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	TN1 transmits an IKE_SA_INIT Request with a Major Version of 2 and a Minor Version of 1.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response.

#### Part B: Higher Major Version Number



Step	Action	Expected Result
2.	TN1 transmits an IKE_SA_INIT Request with a Major Version of 3 and a Minor Version of 0.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response containing a Notify Payload of Type INVALID_MAJOR_VERSION (5) and a Data field of 2. The SPI Size is 0.

#### **Possible Problems:**

• In Part B, the device MUST drop the message and SHOULD send the INVALID\_MAJOR\_VERSION Notification. With a valid reason, an implementation may not support sending this notification.



## IPsec.Conf.1.2.1.5: IKE\_SA\_INIT Multiple Transforms

## Purpose:

To verify correct processing of an SA Proposal with Multiple Transforms of a single type.

## **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 2.7, 3.3, 3.3.2, 3.3.5

## Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration
  - Use the following Transforms corresponding to each part:



#### **Common Configuration:**

Туре	Transform
ENCR (1)	ENCR_AES_CBC 128-bit (12)
PRF (2)	PRF_HMAC_SHA2_256 (5)
INTEG (3)	AUTH_HMAC_SHA2_256_128 (12)
DH (4)	2048-bit MODP Group (14)

The device should send the transforms specified in the Common Configuration. The table below adds the given Transform Type and Transform ID to the proposal according to the part specified, so that 5 transforms are proposed (6 in the case of Part B), with at two of the same type.

Part	Transform Type	Transform ID
Α	ENCR (1)	ENCR_AES_CBC 256-bit (12)
р	PRF (2)	PRF_HMAC_SHA2_512 (7)
D	INTEG (3)	AUTH_HMAC_SHA2_512_256 (14)
C	DH (4)	256-bit random ECP group (19)

**Procedure:** 



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request with transforms according to the table above.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response.
2.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request encrypted with the algorithms according to the table above.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response encrypted with the algorithms according to the table above.

#### **Possible Problems:**





## IPsec.Conf.1.2.1.6: IKE\_SA\_INIT Multiple Proposals

## **Purpose:**

To verify correct processing of an SA Proposal with Multiple Proposals type.

#### **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 2.7, 3.3, 3.3.2, 3.3.5

## Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration
  - Use the following Transforms corresponding to each part:

#### **Common Configuration:**

Туре	Transform
ENCR (1)	ENCR_AES_CBC 128-bit (12)
PRF (2)	PRF_HMAC_SHA2_256 (5)
INTEG (3)	AUTH_HMAC_SHA2_256_128 (12)
DH (4)	2048-bit MODP Group (14)

The device should send the transforms specified in the Common Configuration. Additionally, it should send a second proposal according to the table below, for a total of 2 SA Proposals.

Туре	Transform
ENCR (1)	ENCR_AES_CBC 256-bit (12)
PRF (2)	PRF_HMAC_SHA2_512 (7)
INTEG (3)	AUTH_HMAC_SHA2_512_256 (14)
DH (4)	256-bit random ECP group (19)



#### **Procedure:**



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request with 2 SA Proposals according to the table above.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response.
2.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request encrypted with the algorithms according to the table above.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response encrypted with the algorithms according to the table above.

## **Possible Problems:**



# IPsec.Conf.1.2.1.7: IKE\_SA\_INIT Exchange with INVALID\_KE\_PAYLOAD Purpose:

To verify correct processing of N(INVALID\_KE\_PAYLOAD) during IKE\_SA\_INIT

## **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 1.2, 2.2, 2.6, 2.21.1, 3.4

## Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration

## Procedure:



Payload		Field	Value	
IKE Header		According to Common Conf	liguration	
SA Payload	Proposal	Transform	ENCR_AES_CBC 128-bit (12)	
		Transform	PRF_HMAC_SHA2_256 (5)	
		Transform	AUTH_HMAC_SHA2_256_128 (12)	
		Transform	2048-bit MODP Group (14)	
		Transform	256-bit random ECP group (19)	
KE Payload		DH Groun	256-bit random ECP	
		Diratoup	group (19)	
		Key Exchange Data	(According to DH Group	
			19)	
Nonce Payload		According to Common Conf	iguration	

PACKET 2 - IKE\_SA\_INIT REQUEST



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	TN1 transmits an IKE_SA_INIT Request according to Packet 2 - IKE_SA_INIT Request above.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response containing only a Notify Payload of Type INVALID_KE_PAYLOAD (17) with a data field equal to 14 (2048- bit MODP Group). The rSPI Field is 0.
2.	TN1 transmits an IKE_SA_INIT Request according to Packet 2 - IKE_SA_INIT Request above, however the KE Payload has been modified to use DH Group 14 according to the Common Configuration.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response.

#### **Possible Problems:**



## IPsec.Conf.1.2.1.8: IKE\_SA\_INIT Forward Compatibility

## **Purpose:**

To verify forward compatibility using the reserved and version fields.

## **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 2.5, 3.1

## Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration

## Procedure:



Part A: Non-zero Reserved Bits

Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	TN1 transmits an IKE_SA_INIT Request. The reserved bits in the IKE Header are set to 1.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response.

## Part B: Version Bit Set

Step	Action	Expected Result
2.	TN1 transmits an IKE_SA_INIT Request. The version bit in the Flags field is set	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response.



## **Possible Problems:**



## IPsec.Conf.1.2.1.9: IKE\_SA\_INIT Invalid

## **Purpose:**

To verify an Invalid IKE\_SA\_INIT is ignored.

#### **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 2.21

## Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration

## Procedure:



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	TN1 transmits an IKE_SA_INIT Request. The Response bit is set to 1.	The NUT does not transmit an IKE_SA_INIT Response.

## **Possible Problems:**


# 1.2.2. IKE\_AUTH Exchange



# IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.1: IKE\_AUTH Response Format

## **Purpose:**

To verify a properly formatted IKE\_AUTH Response

## **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 1.2, 2.15, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.5, 3.8, 3.10, 3.13, 3.14

## Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - $\circ$   $\,$  Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration

## Procedure:



Step	Action	Expected Result
1	TN1 transmits an	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT
1.	IKE_SA_INIT Request.	Response.
2.	TN1 transmits an IKE_AUTH Request.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response. Verify fields according to <b>Table A</b> (Encrypted) and <b>Table B</b> (Decrypted Payloads) below. The accepted SA and Traffic Selectors must align with those proposed by TN1.

## Table A:



Payload	Field	Value
	iSPI	Non-Zero, equal to iSPI in IKE_SA_INIT Request and IKE_AUTH Request.
	rSPI	Non-Zero (From IKE_SA_INIT Response)
ike header	Major Version	Encrypted and Authenticated (46)
	Minor Version	2
	Exchange Type	0
	Flags	IKE_AUTH (35)
	Message ID	(00000100)2 = (4)10
	Initialization Vector	Valid
Enorumted Dayload	Encrypted IKE Payloads	Valid
Enci ypteu Payloau	Padding/Pad Length	Valid
	Integrity Checksum Data	Valid

# Table B (Payloads within Encrypted IKE Payload):

Payload		Field	Value	
ID Davlaad		ID Type	ID_IPV6_ADDR (5)	
11	ID Payload		ID Data	Valid
		Authentication Method	Shared Key Message	
Authent	tication Pay	load	Authentication Method	Integrity Code (2)
			Authentication Data	Valid
			Payload Length	8
			Protocol ID	0
Not	tify Payload	l	SPI Size	0
			Notify Massage Type	USE_TRANSPORT_MODE
			Notify Message Type	(16391)
	Proposal		Last	0 or 2
			Proposal #	1
			Protocol ID	ESP (3)
			SPI Size	4
			SPI	Valid
SA		# Transforms	3	
Payload			Last	0 or 3
			Transform Type	ENCR (1)
			Transform ID	(According to Common
				Configuration)
			Last	0 or 3
		Transform Type	INTEG (3)	



			Transform ID	(According to Common Configuration)
			Last	0 or 3
			Transform Type	ESN (5)
			Transform ID	(According to Common Configuration)
			# Traffic Selectors	1 or 2
			ТЅ Туре	TS_IPV6_ADDR_RANGE (8)
			IP Protocol ID	0
тс;			Selector Length	40
131	Traffic Selector	Start Port	0	
			End Port	65535
			Starting Address	NUT IPv6 Address
			Ending Address	NUT IPv6 Address
			# Traffic Selectors	1 or 2
TSr 7	Traffic Selector	ТЅ Туре	TS_IPV6_ADDR_RANGE (8)	
		IP Protocol ID	0	
		Selector Length	40	
		Start Port	0	
		End Port	65535	
		Starting Address	TN1 IPv6 Address	
		Ending Address	TN1 IPv6 Address	

#### **Possible Problems:**

- The IKE\_AUTH Request may have additional payloads not described above and can be ignored. The payloads may be in any order.
- SA Payload Proposal Transforms may be in any order



## IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.2: IKE\_AUTH Exchange Succeeds

## **Purpose:**

To verify a IKE\_AUTH Exchange completed successfully under normal conditions.

## **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 1.2

## Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration

## **Procedure:**



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response.
2.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response.
3.	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Request as negotiated.	The NUT transmits a valid ESP ICMPv6 Echo Reply as negotiated.

#### **Possible Problems:**

IPv6 FORUM TECHNICAL DOCUMENT 112





## IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.3: IKE\_AUTH Retransmission

## **Purpose:**

To verify correct retransmission of IKE\_AUTH Responses.

## **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 2.1, 2.2, 2.4

## Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration





Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	TN1 transmits a valid	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT
1.	IKE_SA_INIT Request.	Response.
2.	TN1 transmits a valid	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH
	IKE_AUTH Request.	Response.
3.	Wait 10 seconds.	The NUT does not transmit any IKEv2
		packets for the newly initiated session.
	TN1 retransmits the	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH
4.	IKE_AUTH Request from Step	Response that is bitwise identical to the
	2.	one transmitted in Step 2.

#### **Possible Problems:**



## IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.4: State Synchronization

## **Purpose:**

To verify IKEv2 state is not lost due to cryptographically unprotected messages.

## **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 2.4

## Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration

## **Procedure:**



#### Part A: ICMPv6



Step	Action	Expected Result
1	TN1 transmits a valid	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT
1.	IKE_SA_INIT Request.	Response.
2	TN1 transmits a valid	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH
Ζ.	IKE_AUTH Request.	Response.
2	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6	The NUT transmits a valid ESP ICMPv6
3.	Echo Request as negotiated.	Echo Reply as negotiated.
	TR1 transmits an ICMPv6	
4.	Destination Unreachable	
	Message to the NUT.	
5.	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6	The NUT transmits a valid ESP ICMPv6
	Echo Request as negotiated.	Echo Reply as negotiated.



#### Part B: IKE

Payload	Field	Value
	IKE_SA Initiator's SPI	any
	IKE_SA Responder's SPI	any
	Next Payload	41 (N)
	Major Version	2
	Minor Version	0
	Exchange Type	37 (INFORMATIONAL)
IKE Header	X (bits 0-2 of Flags)	0
	I (bit 3 of Flags)	any
	V (bit 4 of Flags)	0
	R (bit 5 of Flags)	0
	X (bits 6-7 Flags)	0
	Message ID	any
	Length	any
	Next Payload	0
	Critical	0
	Reserved	0
Notify Payload	Payload Length	8
	Protocol ID	3 (ESP)
	SPI Size	0
	Notify Message Type	11 (INVALID_SPI)

PACKET 3 - CRYPTOGRAPHICALLY UNPROTECTED IKE MESSAGE





Step	Action	Expected Result
6.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response.
7.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response.
8.	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Request as negotiated.	The NUT transmits a valid ESP ICMPv6 Echo Reply as negotiated.
9.	TN1 transmits a cryptographically unprotected IKE Message	
10.	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Request as negotiated.	The NUT transmits a valid ESP ICMPv6 Echo Reply as negotiated.

#### **Possible Problems:**



# IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.5: IKE\_AUTH Cryptographic Algorithm Negotiation Purpose:

To verify algorithm negotiation during IKE\_AUTH for ESP CHILD\_SA

## **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 2.7, 3.3.2, 3.3.5

## Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration
  - Use the following Transforms corresponding to each part:



## **Common Configuration:**

Туре	Transform
ENCR (1)	ENCR_AES_CBC 128-bit (12)
INTEG (3)	AUTH_HMAC_SHA2_256_128 (12)
ESN (5)	No ESN (0)

The device should send the transforms specified in the Common Configuration. The table below substitutes the given Transform Type and Transform ID according to the part specified.

Part	Transform Type	Transform ID
•	ENCR (1)	ENCR_AES_CBC 128-bit (12)
A	INTEG (3)	AUTH_HMAC_SHA2_256_128 (12)
	ENCR (1)	ENCR_AES_CBC 256-bit (12)
D - AE3230	INTEG (3)	AUTH_HMAC_SHA2_256_128 (12)
с силсил	ENCR (1)	ENCR_CHACHA20_POLY1305 (28)
C - CHACHA	INTEG (3)	Omitted or NONE (0)
D AESCCM	ENCR (1)	ENCR_AES_GCM_16 128-bit (20)
D - AESGUM	INTEG (3)	Omitted or NONE (0)
E AESCOM	ENCR (1)	ENCR_AES_CCM_8 128-bit (14)
E - AESCUM	INTEG (3)	Omitted or NONE (0)
	ENCR (1)	ENCR_NULL (11)
F-NULL	INTEG (3)	AUTH_HMAC_SHA2_256_128 (12)
C SUAE12	ENCR (1)	ENCR_NULL (11)
G - 3NA312	INTEG (3)	AUTH_HMAC_SHA2_512_256 (14)
U AESVODO	ENCR (1)	ENCR_NULL (11)
п - аезасыс	INTEG (3)	AUTH_AES_XCBC_96 (5)





Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response.
2.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request with algorithms according to the table above.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response with algorithms according to the table above.
3.	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Request as negotiated.	The NUT transmits a valid ESP ICMPv6 Echo Reply as negotiated.

#### **Possible Problems:**



## IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.6: IKE\_AUTH Multiple Transforms

#### **Purpose**:

To verify correct processing of an SA Proposal with Multiple Transforms of a single type.

#### **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 2.7, 3.3, 3.3.2, 3.3.5

### Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration
  - Use the following Transforms corresponding to each part:

#### **Common Configuration:**

Туре	Transform
ENCR (1)	ENCR_AES_CBC 128-bit (12)
INTEG (3)	AUTH_HMAC_SHA2_256_128 (12)
ESN (5)	No ESN (0)

The device should send the transforms specified in the Common Configuration. The table below adds the given Transform Type and Transform ID to the proposal according to the part specified, so that 4 transforms are proposed, with at two of the same type.

Part	Transform Type	Transform ID
Α	ENCR (1)	ENCR_AES_CBC 256-bit (12)
В	INTEG (3)	AUTH_HMAC_SHA2_512_256 (14)
С	ESN (5)	Yes ESN (1)





Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response.
2.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request encrypted with the algorithms according to the table above.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response encrypted with the algorithms according to the table above.
3.	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Request as negotiated.	The NUT transmits a valid ESP ICMPv6 Echo Reply as negotiated.

### **Possible Problems:**



## IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.7: IKE\_AUTH Multiple Proposals

#### **Purpose:**

To verify correct processing of an SA Proposal with Multiple Proposals type.

#### **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 2.7, 3.3, 3.3.2, 3.3.5

### Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration
  - Use the following Transforms corresponding to each part:

#### **Common Configuration:**

Туре	Transform
ENCR (1)	ENCR_AES_CBC 128-bit (12)
INTEG (3)	AUTH_HMAC_SHA2_256_128 (12)
ESN (5)	No ESN (0)

The device should send the transforms specified in the Common Configuration. Additionally, it should send a second proposal according to the table below, for a total of 2 SA Proposals.

Туре	Transform
ENCR (1)	ENCR_AES_CBC 256-bit (12)
INTEG (3)	AUTH_HMAC_SHA2_512_256 (14)
ESN (5)	Yes ESN (1)





Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response.
2.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request with 2 SA Proposal and algorithms according to the table above.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response with algorithms according to the table above.
3.	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Request as negotiated.	The NUT transmits a valid ESP ICMPv6 Echo Reply as negotiated.

### **Possible Problems:**



## IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.8: IKE\_AUTH N(NO\_PROPOSAL\_CHOSEN)

#### **Purpose:**

To verify an IKE\_SA remains setup after transmission of N(NO\_PROPOSAL\_CHOSEN) in IKE\_AUTH.

#### **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 1.2, 2.7, 2.21.2, 3.10.1

#### Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - $\circ$   $\,$  Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration





Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response.
2.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request. The SA Proposal does not match the Common Configuration.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response, with a Notify Payload of type NO_PROPOSAL_CHOSEN (14).
3.	Wait 10 seconds.	
4.	TN1 transmits an INFORMATIONAL Request with no payloads except for an empty Encrypted Payload.	The NUT transmits an INFORMATIONAL Response with no payloads except for an empty Encrypted Payload.

#### **Possible Problems:**



### IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.9: Traffic Selector Negotiation

#### **Purpose:**

To verify a device is able to transmit an IKE\_AUTH Response with Traffic Selectors configured to be more narrow than was originally proposed.

#### **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 2.9

#### Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - $\circ$   $\,$  Connect the devices according to Common Topology  $\,$
- Configuration
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration
  - Additionally, the NUT is configured with traffic selectors for **TCP** according to the table below.

NUT Traffic Selectors			
Remote Traffic SelectorTN1_Network1			
Local Traffic Selector NUT_Network0			
Protocol/Port	TCP/ANY		





Part A: Narrowing from a single Traffic Selector Proposals

Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE SA INIT Request.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response.
2.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request according to the Common Configuration.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response indicating TCP Traffic Selectors according to the table above. The Traffic Selector Payloads specify an IP Protocol ID of TCP (6), for TSi and TSr.
3.	TN1 transmits a TCP-SYN packet with IPsec ESP to a closed port on the NUT.	The NUT transmits a valid ESP TCP RST to TN1.
4.	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Request using the negotiated Security Association, ignoring the negotiated Traffic Selector Policy.	The NUT does not transmit an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Reply, nor does it transmit a non-encrypted ICMPv6 Echo Reply.



Part	R	Narrov	vina	from	multi	nle T	<b>r</b> affic	Selector	Pronosals
IUIL	υ.	nuiiov	ving.	<i>j</i> 1 0 m	munu		rujjic	Juliu	Troposuis

		# Traffic Selectors	2
		ТЅ Туре	TS_IPV6_ADDR_RANGE (8)
		IP Protocol ID	6 (TCP)
		Selector Length	40
	Traffic Selector	Start Port	Unassigned Local Port
		End Port	Unassigned Local Port
		Starting Address	TN1 IPv6 Address
TSi		Ending Address	TN1 IPv6 Address
		ТЅ Туре	TS_IPV6_ADDR_RANGE (8)
		IP Protocol ID	0
		Selector Length	40
	Traffic Selector	Start Port	0
		End Port	65535
		Starting Address	TN1 IPv6 Address
		Ending Address	TN1 IPv6 Address
		# Traffic Selectors	2
		ТЅ Туре	TS_IPV6_ADDR_RANGE (8)
		IP Protocol ID	6 (TCP)
		Selector Length	40
	Traffic Selector	Start Port	Unassigned Remote Port
		End Port	Unassigned Remote Port
		Starting Address	NUT IPv6 Address
TSr		Ending Address	NUT IPv6 Address
		ТЅ Туре	TS_IPV6_ADDR_RANGE (8)
		IP Protocol ID	0
		Selector Length	40
	Traffic Selector	Start Port	0
		End Port	65535
		Starting Address	NUT IPv6 Address
		Ending Address	NUT IPv6 Address

PACKET 4 - IKE\_AUTH WITH MULTIPLE TRAFFIC SELECTORS

Step	Action	Expected Result
5.	TN1 transmits a valid	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT
0.	IKE_SA_INIT Request.	Response.
6.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request according to Packet 4 - IKE_AUTH with Multiple Traffic Selectors above.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response indicating TCP Traffic Selectors according to the table above. The Traffic Selector Payloads specify an IP Protocol ID of TCP (6), for TSi and TSr.



7.	TN1 transmits a TCP-SYN packet with IPsec ESP to a closed port on the NUT.	The NUT transmits a valid ESP TCP RST to TN1.
8.	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Request using the negotiated Security Association, ignoring the negotiated Traffic Selector Policy.	The NUT does not transmit an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Reply, nor does it transmit a non-encrypted ICMPv6 Echo Reply.

### Part C: Transmitting Notify(TS\_UNACCEPTABLE)



		# Traffic Selectors	1
		ТЅ Туре	TS_IPV6_ADDR_RANGE (8)
		IP Protocol ID	17 (UDP)
тс;		Selector Length	40
1 51	Traffic Selector	Start Port	Unassigned Local Port
		End Port	Unassigned Local Port
		Starting Address	TN1 IPv6 Address
		Ending Address	TN1 IPv6 Address
		# Traffic Selectors	1
	Traffic Selector	ТЅ Туре	TS_IPV6_ADDR_RANGE (8)
TSr		IP Protocol ID	17 (UDP)
		Selector Length	40
		Start Port	Unassigned Remote Port
		End Port	Unassigned Remote Port
		Starting Address	NUT IPv6 Address
		Ending Address	NUT IPv6 Address

#### PACKET 5 - IKE\_AUTH WITH UDP TRAFFIC SELECTOR

Step	Action	Expected Result
9.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response.



10. TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request according to Packet 5 - IKE_AUTH with UDP Traffic Selector above.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response with a Notify Payload of type TS_UNACCEPTABLE (38).
---	---

#### **Possible Problems:**

- The NUT may transmit a CREATE\_CHILD\_SA Request with Traffic Selectors matching ICMPv6 Echo Reply. This does not indicate a failure.
- A Security Gateway device may have additional Traffic Selectors, or Traffic Selectors representing a range of addresses. This should not be considered a failure.



#### IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.10: Peer Identification

#### **Purpose:**

To verify authentication using different Identification Types.

#### **References:**

• [RFC 7296] Sections 2.15, 3.5

#### Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration
  - Configure the devices to authenticate using the Identification Types according to each part specified in the table below.

#### TABLE 4 - IDENTIFICATION TYPES

Part	NUT ID Type	TN1 ID Type
Α	ID_IPV6_ADDR	ID_IPV6_ADDR
В	ID_IPV6_ADDR	ID_FQDN
С	ID_IPV6_ADDR	ID_RFC822_ADDR
D	ID_FQDN	ID_IPV6_ADDR
Ε	ID_FQDN	ID_FQDN
F	ID_FQDN	ID_RFC822_ADDR
G	ID_RFC822_ADDR	ID_IPV6_ADDR
Н	ID_RFC822_ADDR	ID_FQDN
Ι	ID_RFC822_ADDR	ID_RFC822_ADDR





Step	Action	Expected Result
1	TN1 transmits a valid	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT
1.	IKE_SA_INIT Request.	Response.
	TN1 transmits a valid	
	IKE_AUTH Request. The IDi	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH
	Payload contains an ID Type	Response. The IDr Payload contains an
2.	according to the part in the	ID Type according to the part in the
	TN1 ID Type column in the	NUT ID Type column in the table above
	table above (Table 4 -	(Table 4 - Identification Types)
	Identification Types).	
3.	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6	The NUT transmits a valid ESP ICMPv6
	Echo Request as negotiated.	Echo Reply as negotiated.

#### **Possible Problems:**



# IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.11: Authentication via RSA Digital Signature Purpose:

To verify authentication of a peer via RSA Digital Signature

## **References:**

• [RFC 7296] Sections 2.15, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7, 3.8

## Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration
  - Configure the devices to use the RSA Digital Signature (1) Authentication Method for both DUT and TN1, in place of Shared Key (3).





Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response. If the Response contains a CERTREQ Payload, it is valid and formatted properly.
2.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request. The Request contains a CERTREQ Payload specifying X.509 Certificate - Signature (4) and the data corresponding to the preferred CA.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response. The Authentication Payload specifies an Auth Method of RSA Digital Signature (1), and contains valid authentication data. If the Response contains a CERT Payload, it is valid and formatted properly.
3.	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Request as negotiated.	The NUT transmits a valid ESP ICMPv6 Echo Reply as negotiated.

#### **Possible Problems:**



## IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.12: Authentication via PSK

## **Purpose:**

To verify authentication of a peer via Shared Key Message Integrity Code

## **References:**

• [RFC 7296] Sections 2.15

## Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration

## **Procedure:**





#### Part A: Authentication Succeeds

Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response.
2.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request. The Authentication Payload specifies an Auth Method of Shared Key Message Integrity Code (2), and contains valid authentication data.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response. The Authentication Payload specifies an Auth Method of Shared Key Message Integrity Code (2), and contains valid authentication data.
3.	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Request as negotiated.	The NUT transmits a valid ESP ICMPv6 Echo Reply as negotiated.



## Part B: Authentication with Hex Encoding of PSK TABLE 5 - HEX PSK

PSK	NUT and TN1
Local & Remote	0xabadcafeabadcafeabadcafe

Step	Action	Expected Result
4.	Initialize the NUT. Use the HEX PSK specified in the table above (Table 5 - Hex PSK).	
5.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response.
6.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request. The Authentication Payload specifies an Auth Method of Shared Key Message Integrity Code (2), and contains valid authentication data.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response. The Authentication Payload specifies an Auth Method of Shared Key Message Integrity Code (2), and contains valid authentication data.
7.	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Request as negotiated.	The NUT transmits a valid ESP ICMPv6 Echo Reply as negotiated.







#### TABLE 6 - MISMATCHED PSK

PSK	NUT	TN1	
Local & Remote	"IKETEST-1234"	"NOMATCH"	

Step	Action	Expected Result
8.	Initialize the NUT. Use the HEX PSK specified in the table above. (Table 6 - Mismatched PSK)	
9.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response.
10.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request. The Authentication Payload specifies an Auth Method of Shared Key Message Integrity Code (2), and contains valid authentication data.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response. The Response contains a Notify Payload of Type AUTHENTICATION_FAILED (24).



11.	TN1 transmits an INFORMATIONAL Request liveness check with no payloads except for an empty Encrypted Payload.	The NUT does not transmit a response to the liveness check.
12.	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6	The NUT does not transmit an ESP
	Echo Request as negotiated.	ICMPv6 Echo Reply.

#### **Possible Problems:**

- Part B: This is a true "byte" representation of a key. This key cannot be represented via ASCII input, and must be handled separately. For example: The ASCII byte representation of "abadcafeabadcafeabadcafeabadcafe" is 0x 616261646361666561626164636166656162616463616665616261646361666
   5, which is not equal to the HEX PSK given.
- **Part C:** The NUT MAY send an INFORMATIONAL Response without cryptographic protection with a notification of INVALID\_IKE\_SPI.



## IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.13: IKE\_AUTH Forward Compatibility

## **Purpose:**

To verify that the contents of the IKE\_AUTH Response Reserved field are ignored.

## **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 2.5, 3.1

## Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration

## Procedure:



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	TN1 transmits a valid	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT
	IKE_SA_INIT Request.	Response.
2.	TN1 transmits a valid	
	IKE_AUTH Request. The	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH
	reserved bits in the IKE	Response.
	Header are set to 1.	
3.	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6	The NUT transmits a valid ESP ICMPv6
	Echo Request as negotiated.	Echo Reply as negotiated.

### **Possible Problems:**


## IPsec.Conf.1.2.2.14: Unrecognized Notify Type

## **Purpose:**

To verify unrecognized Notify Types are correctly processed.

## **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 2.5, 3.1

## Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration

## Procedure:





## Part A: Unrecognized Notify Type of Error (16383)

Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	TN1 transmits a valid	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT
	IKE_SA_INIT Request.	Response.
2.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request. The Request contains a Notify Payload of Type Private Use (16383)	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response.
3.	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Request as negotiated.	The NUT transmits a valid ESP ICMPv6 Echo Reply as negotiated.

#### Part B: Unrecognized Notify Type of Status (65535)

Step	Action	Expected Result
4.	TN1 transmits a valid	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT
	IKE_SA_INTI Kequest.	Response.
5.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request. The Request contains a Notify Payload of Type Private Use (65535)	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response.
6.	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Request as negotiated.	The NUT transmits a valid ESP ICMPv6 Echo Reply as negotiated.

## **Possible Problems:**

• None.



# 1.2.3. IKE\_AUTH Exchange - Tunnel Mode



# IPsec.Conf.1.2.3.1: IKE\_AUTH Response Format in Tunnel Mode Purpose:

To verify a properly formatted IKE\_AUTH Response in Tunnel Mode

## **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 1.2, 2.15, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.5, 3.8, 3.10, 3.13, 3.14

## Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration

## Procedure:



Step	Action	Expected Result
1	TN1 transmits an	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT
1.	IKE_SA_INIT Request.	Response.
2.	TN1 transmits an IKE_AUTH Request.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response. Verify fields according to Table A (Encrypted) and Table B (Decrypted Payloads) below. The NUT uses <b>TUNNEL Mode</b> , with Traffic Selectors matching <b>Network2</b> .

## Table A:



Payload	Field	Value
	iSPI	Non-Zero (From IKE_SA_INIT Request)
	rSPI	Non-Zero
IKE Header	Next Payload	Encrypted and Authenticated (46)
	Major Version	2
	Minor Version	0
	Exchange Type	IKE_AUTH (35)
	Flags	(00000100)2 = (4)10
	Message ID	1
	Initialization Vector	Valid
Encrupted Dayload	Encrypted IKE Payloads	Valid
Enci ypteu i ayloau	Padding/Pad Length	Valid
	Integrity Checksum Data	Valid

## Table B (Payloads within Encrypted IKE Payload):

Payload		Field	Value	
ID Payload		ID Туре	ID_IPV6_ADDR (5)	
		ID Data	Valid	
Authentication Payload		Authentication Method	Shared Key Message Integrity Code (2)	
			Authentication Data	Valid
			Last	0 or 2
			Proposal #	1
			Protocol ID	ESP (3)
			SPI Size	4
			SPI	Valid
			# Transforms	3
			Last	0 or 3
			Transform Type	ENCR (1)
SA Payload	Proposal		Transform ID	(According to Common Configuration)
			Last	0 or 3
			Transform Type	INTEG (3)
			Transform ID	(According to Common Configuration)
			Last	0 or 3
			Transform Type	ESN (5)
			Transform ID	(According to Common Configuration)
TC:			# Traffic Selectors	1 or 2
Traffic Selector		ТЅ Туре	TS_IPV6_ADDR_RANGE (8)	



		IP Protocol ID	0
		Selector Length	40
		Start Port	0
		End Port	65535
		Starting Address	NETWORK2::0000
		Ending Address	NETWORK2::FFFF
		# Traffic Selectors	1 or 2
TSr	Traffic Selector	ТЅ Туре	TS_IPV6_ADDR_RANGE (8)
		IP Protocol ID	0
		Selector Length	40
		Start Port	0
		End Port	65535
		Starting Address	NUT IPv6 Address
		Ending Address	NUT IPv6 Address

#### **Possible Problems:**

- The IKE\_AUTH Request may have additional payloads not described above and can be ignored. The payloads may be in any order.
- There may be more than one Proposal in the SA Payload. One proposal must match the above.
- SA Payload Proposal Transforms may be in any order

There may be more than one traffic selector in the TSi and TSr payloads. The last traffic selector must match the above.



## IPsec.Conf.1.2.3.2: IKE\_AUTH Exchange Succeeds in Tunnel Mode

#### **Purpose:**

To verify a IKE\_AUTH Exchange completed successfully under normal conditions utilizing Tunnel Mode.

#### **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 1.2, 2.15, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.5, 3.8, 3.10, 3.13, 3.14

#### Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - $\circ$   $\,$  Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration



## **Procedure:**



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	TN1 transmits a valid	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT
	IKE_SA_INIT Request.	Response.
2	TN1 transmits a valid	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH
۷.	IKE_AUTH Request.	Response.
2	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6	The NUT transmits a valid ESP ICMPv6
3.	Echo Request as negotiated.	Echo Reply as negotiated.
	TN1 transmits an ESP	The NUT transmite a valid ESD
4.	Tunneled ICMPv6 Echo	The NUT transmits a value ESP
	Request as negotiated on	nagotiated in response to TH1
	behalf of TH1.	

#### **Possible Problems:**

• None.



1.2.4. CREATE\_CHILD\_SA Exchange



**1.2.5. INFORMATIONAL Exchange** 



## IPsec.Conf.1.2.5.1: INFORMATIONAL Exchange

## **Purpose:**

To verify capability to respond to Liveness Checks via empty INFORMATIONAL Request.

## **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 1.4.1, 2.4

## Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration

## **Procedure:**





Part A:	Liveness	Check

Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response.
2.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response.
3.	Wait 10 seconds.	
4.	TN1 transmits an INFORMATIONAL Request with no payloads except for an empty Encrypted Payload.	The NUT transmits an INFORMATIONAL Response with no payloads except for an empty Encrypted Payload.



Part B: Retransmission	Part	<b>B:</b>	Retr	ansn	niss	sio	n
------------------------	------	-----------	------	------	------	-----	---

Step	Action	Expected Result
5.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response.
6.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response.
7.	Wait 10 seconds.	
8.	TN1 transmits an INFORMATIONAL Request with no payloads except for an empty Encrypted Payload.	The NUT transmits an INFORMATIONAL Response with no payloads except for an empty Encrypted Payload.
9.	TN1 retransmits the INFORMATIONAL Request from Step 8.	The NUT transmits a valid INFORMATIONAL Response that is bitwise identical to the one transmitted in Step 8.

#### Part C: Non-Zero Reserved Fields

Step	Action	Expected Result
10.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response.
11.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response.
12.	Wait 10 seconds.	
13.	TN1 transmits an INFORMATIONAL Request with no payloads except for an empty Encrypted Payload. All Reserved fields in the message are set to 1.	The NUT transmits an INFORMATIONAL Response with no payloads except for an empty Encrypted Payload.

#### **Possible Problems:**

• None.



#### IPsec.Conf.1.2.5.2: IKE\_SA Deletion

#### **Purpose:**

To verify a device correctly processes and responds to an INFORMATIONAL Request to delete an IKE\_SA.

#### **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 1.4.1, 2.4

#### Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - $\circ$   $\,$  Connect the devices according to Common Topology  $\,$
- Configuration
  - Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration

#### **Procedure:**





Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response.
2.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response.
3.	Wait 10 seconds.	
4.	TN1 transmits an INFORMATIONAL request with a Delete payload with a Protocol ID of 1 (IKE_SA), a SPI Size of 0, and no SPI value.	The NUT transmits an INFORMATIONAL response with no payloads except for an empty Encrypted Payload.
5.	TN1 transmits an INFORMATIONAL Request liveness check with no payloads except for an empty Encrypted Payload.	The NUT does not transmit a response to the liveness check.
6.	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Request as negotiated.	The NUT does not transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Reply.

#### **Possible Problems:**

• In step 5, the NUT MAY send an INFORMATIONAL Response without cryptographic protection with a notification of INVALID\_IKE\_SPI.



#### IPsec.Conf.1.2.5.3: CHILD\_SA Deletion

#### **Purpose:**

To verify a device correctly processes and responds to and INFORMATIONAL Request to delete a CHILD\_SA.

#### **References:**

• [RFC 7296] 1.4.1, 2.4

#### Initialization:

- Network Topology
  - Connect the devices according to Common Topology
- Configuration
  - Configure the devices according to the Common Configuration

#### **Procedure:**





Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Request.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_SA_INIT Response.
2.	TN1 transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Request.	The NUT transmits a valid IKE_AUTH Response.
3.	Wait 10 seconds.	
4.	TN1 transmits an INFORMATIONAL request with a Delete payload with a Protocol ID of 3 (ESP), a SPI Size of 4, and a SPI value equal to TN1's inbound ESP SPI.	The NUT transmits an INFORMATIONAL Response Delete payload with a Protocol ID of 3 (ESP), a SPI Size of 4, and a SPI value equal to the NUT's inbound ESP SPI. See Table A below.
5.	TN1 transmits an INFORMATIONAL Request liveness check with no payloads except for an empty Encrypted Payload.	The NUT transmits an INFORMATIONAL Response with no payloads except for an empty Encrypted Payload.
6.	TN1 transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Request as negotiated.	The NUT does not transmits an ESP ICMPv6 Echo Reply.

## Table A:

Payload	Field	Value
	Protocol ID	ESP (1)
DELETE Davload	SPI Size	4
DELETE Payloau	# SPIs	1
	SPI	CHILD_SA SPI

## **Possible Problems:**

• None.



# Section 2: End-Node

This Chapter describes the test specification for End-Node.

The test specification consists of 2 sections pertaining to IPsec Architecture, one each for Transport and Tunnel Mode.

IKEv2 Tests which are specific to End-Node IPsec Architecture may also be included.



# 2.1. IPsec/ESP Architecture (Transport Mode)



## IPsec.Conf.2.1.1. Select SPD

#### **Purpose:**

Verify that a NUT (End-Node) selects appropriate SPD based on Address

#### Initialization:

- Topology
  - Connect the devices according to <u>Common Topology</u>
  - Configuration • Use <u>Global Security Associations</u>

#### Databases:

•

Set NUT's SAD and SPD according to the following:

Policy 1		
Peer EN1_Link1		
Mode	Transport	
Remote Traffic SelectorEN1_Link1		
Local Traffic Selector	NUT_Link0	
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	
If using Manual Keys include:		
Incoming SA SA1-I		
Outgoing SA	SA1-0	

Policy 2		
Peer	EN2_Link1	
Mode	Transport	
Remote Address	EN2_Link1	
Local Address	NUT_Link0	
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	
If using Manual Keys include:		
Incoming SA SA2-I		
Outgoing SA	SA2-0	



#### Packets:

IP Header	Source Address	EN1_Link1
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA1-I
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

#### ICMP Echo Request with SA1-I's ESP

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	EN1_Link1
ESP	SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x2000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA1-0
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)

#### ICMP Echo Reply with SA1-O's ESP

IP Header	Source Address	EN2_Link1	
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0	
ESP	SPI	Dynamic3 or 0x3000	
	Sequence	1	
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA2-I	
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)	
	ICMP Echo Request with SA2-I's ESP		
IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0	
	Destination Address	EN2_Link1	
ESP	SPI	Dynamic4 or 0x4000	
	Sequence	1	
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA2-0	
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)	

ICMP Echo Reply with SA2-O's ESP



#### **Procedure:**



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT	
2.	EN1 transmits ICMP Echo Request with SA1-I's ESP	
3.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Reply with SA1-O's ESP
4.	EN2 transmits ICMP Echo Request with SA2-I's ESP	
5.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Reply with SA2-O's ESP

#### **Possible Problems:**

• None



## IPsec.Conf.2.1.2. Select SPD (Next Layer Protocol Selectors)

#### Purpose:

Verify that a NUT (End-Node) selects appropriate SPD based different Next Layer Protocol Selectors, including: ICMPv6 Type, TCP port

#### Initialization:

- Topology
  - Connect the devices according to <u>Common Topology</u>
- Configuration
  - Use <u>Global Security Associations</u>

#### Databases:

Set NUT's SAD and SPD according to the following:



#### Part A: Select ICMPv6 Type

Policy 1		
Peer	EN1_Link1	
Mode Transport		
Remote Address EN1_Link1		
Local Address NUT_Link0		
Protocol/Port ICMPv6/128 (Echo Request)		
If using Manual Keys include:		
Incoming SA	SA1-I	
Dutgoing SA SA1-0		

Policy 2		
Peer EN1_Link1		
Mode Transport		
Remote Address EN2_Link1		
Local Address NUT_Link0		
Protocol/Port ICMPv6/129 (Echo Reply)		
If using Manual Keys include:		
Incoming SA SA2-I		
Outgoing SA SA2-0		

#### Packets:

IP Header	Source Address	EN1_Link1
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA1-I
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

#### ICMP Echo Request with SA1-I's ESP

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	EN1_Link1
ESP	SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x4000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA2-0
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)

#### ICMP Echo Reply with SA2-O's ESP

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0	
	Destination Address	EN1_Link1	
ESP	SPI	Dynamic3 or 0x2000	
	Sequence	1	
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA1-0	
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)	



#### ICMP Echo Request with SA1-O's ESP

IP Header	Source Address EN1_Link1	
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ESP	SPI	Dynamic4 or 0x3000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA2-I
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)

ICMP Echo Reply with SA2-I's ESP

#### **Procedure:**



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT	
2.	EN1 transmits ICMP Echo Request with SA1-I's ESP	
3.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Reply with SA2-O's ESP
4.	Transmit ICMP Echo Request with SA1-O's ESP from the NUT to the Global unicast address of EN1	
5.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	EN1 transmits ICMP Echo Reply with SA2-I's ESP



Part B: Select TCP Port

Policy 1		
Peer	EN1_Link1	
Mode	Transport	
Remote Address/PortEN1_Link1/50001		
Local Address/Port	NUT_Link0/55005	
Protocol	ТСР	
If using Manual Keys include:		
Incoming SA SA1-I		
Outgoing SA SA1-0		

Policy 2		
Peer	EN1_Link1	
Mode Transport		
Remote Address/PortEN1_Link1/60001		
Local Address/Port	NUT_Link0/65005	
Protocol	ТСР	
If using Manual Keys include:		
Incoming SA SA2-I		
Outgoing SA SA2-0		

#### Packets:

IP Header	Source Address	EN1_Link1	
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0	
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000	
	Sequence	1	
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA1-I	
ТСР	Туре	SYN	
	Source Port	50001	
	Destination Port	55005	

#### TCP SYN with SA1-I's ESP

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	EN1_Link1
ESP	SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x2000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA2-0
ТСР	Туре	RST
	Source Port	55005
	Destination Port	50001

## TCP RST Reply with SA1-O's ESP

IP Header	Source Address	EN1_Link1
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0



ESP	SPI	Dynamic3 or 0x3000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA1-I
ТСР	Туре	SYN
	Source Port	60001
	Destination Port	65005

#### TCP SYN with SA1-I's ESP

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0	
	Destination Address	EN1_Link1	
ESP	SPI	Dynamic4 or 0x4000	
	Sequence	1	
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA2-0	
ТСР	Туре	RST	
	Source Port	65005	
	Destination Port	60001	

TCP RST Reply with SA1-O's ESP

#### **Procedure:**



Step	Action	Expected Result
6.	Initialize the NUT	
7.	EN1 transmits TCP SYN with SA1-I's ESP	
8.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	The NUT transmits TCP RST with SA1-O's ESP
9.	Transmit <i>TCP SYN with SA2-I's ESP from</i> <i>the NUT</i> to the Global unicast address of EN1	
10.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	EN1 transmits TCP RST with SA2-O's ESP

#### **Possible Problems:**



- Possible Problem Part A: NUT may be a passive node that does not implement an application for sending Echo Requests. One of the following methods to perform this test is required for the passive node:
  - Using UDP application to invoke ICMPv6 Destination Unreachable (Port unreachable) (see Appendix-A Section 1.1)
  - Invoking Neighbor Unreachability Detection (see Appendix-A Section 1.2)
- Possible Problem Part B:
  - Ensure the NUT has no service listening on the prescribed ports, or select alternative ports.



## IPsec.Conf.2.1.3. Sequence Number Increment

#### **Purpose:**

Verify that a NUT (End-Node) increases sequence number correctly, starting with 1.

#### Initialization:

- Topology
  - Connect the devices according to <u>Common Topology</u>
- Configuration
  - Use <u>Global Security Associations</u>

#### Databases:

Set NUT's SAD and SPD according to the following:

Policy 1		
Peer	EN1_Link1	
Mode	Transport	
Remote Address	EN1_Link1	
Local Address	NUT_Link0	
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	
If using Manual Keys include:		
Incoming SA	ing SA SA1-I	
Outgoing SA	SA1-0	

#### Packets:

IP Header	Source Address	EN1_Link1
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000
	Sequence	$1^{st} = 1, 2^{nd} = 2$
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

#### ICMP Echo Request with ESP

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	EN1_Link1
ESP	SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x2000
	Sequence	$1^{st} = 1, 2^{nd} = 2$
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-0
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)

#### ICMP Echo Reply with ESP



**Procedure:** 



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT	
2.	EN1 transmits ICMP Echo Request with ESP	
3.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	The NUT transmits an ICMP Echo Reply with ESP with an ESP Sequence Number of 1
4.	EN1 transmits ICMP Echo Request with ESP	
5.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	The NUT transmits an ICMP Echo Reply with ESP with an ESP Sequence Number of 2

#### **Possible Problems:**

• None



## IPsec.Conf.2.1.4. Packet Too Big Reception

#### **Purpose:**

Verify that a NUT (End-Node) can fragment and reassemble fragments correctly.

#### Initialization:

- Topology
  - Connect the devices according to <u>Common Topology</u>
- Configuration
  - Use <u>Global Security Associations</u>
  - $\circ~$  In addition, configure TR1\_Link1 to have an MTU of 1280 bytes.

#### Databases:

Set NUT's SAD and SPD according to the following:

Policy 1		
Peer	EN1_Link1	
Mode	Transport	
Remote Address	EN1_Link1	
Local Address	NUT_Link0	
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	
If using Manual Keys include:		
Incoming SA	SA1-I	
Outgoing SA	SA1-0	



#### Packets:

IP Header	Source Address	EN1_Link1
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
	Payload Length	1240
Fragment Header	Offset	0
	More	1
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

#### Fragmented ICMP Echo Request with ESP 1

IP Header	Source Address	EN1_Link1
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
	Payload Length	116
Fragment Header	Offset	154
	More	0
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

## Fragmented ICMP Echo Request with ESP 2

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	EN1_Link1
	Payload Length	1340
ESP	SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x2000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-0
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)

#### ICMP Echo Reply with ESP

ID Hondor	Source Address	TD1 Link1
IF neauer	Source Address	
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ICMP	Туре	2 (Packet Too Big)
	MTU	1280
	Data	1232Byte of ICMP Echo Reply with
		ESP

## ICMP Error Message (Packet Too Big)

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	EN1_Link1
	Payload Length	1240
Fragment	Offset	0
	More Flag	1
ESP	SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x2000



ICMP	Type	129 (Echo Reply)	
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-O	
	Sequence	1	

#### Fragmented ICMP Echo Reply with ESP 1

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	EN1_Link1
	Payload Length	116
Fragment	Offset	154
	More Flag	0
Data	Data	Rest of ICMP Echo Reply with ESP

Fragmented ICMP Echo Reply with ESP 2



#### **Procedure:**

[		NUT		TR1	7	E	<u>N1</u>
•							
		-	Fragme	nted (ESP (ICMPv6 Echo	Request) )		4
		-	Fragme	nted (ESP (ICMPv6 Echo	Request) )		
			ESP (ICM	Pv6 Echo Reply)	×		
		ICMPv6	Packet Too Big I	Message			
		-	Fragme	nted (ESP (ICMPv6 Echo	Request) )		
		-	Fragme	nted (ESP (ICMPv6 Echo	Request) )		
			Fragm	ented (ESP (ICMPv6 Ech	io Reply) )		
			Fragm	ented (ESP (ICMPv6 Ech	io Reply) )		
Step	)		Ac	tion		Ехрес	ted Resul
1.		Initialize (	the NUT				
2.		EN1 trans ESP	smits ICM	P Echo Reque	st with		
2		Observe t	he packet	ts transmitted	on	The NUT t	ransmits I

2.	EN1 transmits ICMP Echo Request with	
	ESP	
2	Observe the packets transmitted on	The NUT transmits ICMP
5.	Link0	Echo Reply with ESP
4	TR1 transmits ICMP Error Message	
4.	(Packet Too Big) to the NUT	
	EN1 sends Fragmented ICMP Echo	
5.	Request with ESP 1 and Fragmented	
	ICMP Echo Request with ESP 2	
		The NUT transmits
	Observe the packets transmitted on	Fragmented ICMP Echo
6.		Reply with ESP 1 and
		Fragmented ICMP Echo
		Reply with ESP 2

#### **Possible Problems:**

• None



## IPsec.Conf.2.1.5. Receipt of No Next Header

#### **Purpose:**

Verify that a NUT (End-Node) processes the dummy packet (the protocol value 59) correctly.

#### Initialization:

- Topology
  - Connect the devices according to <u>Common Topology</u>
- Configuration
  - Use <u>Global Security Associations</u>

#### Databases:

Set NUT's SAD and SPD according to the following:

Policy 1			
Peer	EN1_Link1		
Mode	Transport		
Remote Address	EN1_Link1		
Local Address	NUT_Link0		
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY		
If using Manual Keys include:			
Incoming SA	SA1-I		
Outgoing SA	SA1-0		



#### Packets:

IP Header	Source Address	EN1_Link1		
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0		
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000		
	Sequence	1		
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I		
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)		
ICMD Feb = De succet suith CA Ve FCD				

#### ICMP Echo Request with SA-I's ESP

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0	
	Destination Address	EN1_Link1	
ESP	SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x2000	
	Sequence	1	
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-0	
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)	

ICMP Echo Reply with SA-O's ESP

IP Header	Source Address	EN1_Link1	
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0	
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000	
	Sequence	1	
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I	
	Next Header	no next header (59)	
Upper Layer	Data	empty	

No Next Header with SA-I's ESP




#### Part A: No Next Header

Step	Action	<b>Expected Result</b>
1.	Initialize the NUT	
2.	EN1 transmits ICMP Echo Request with SA-I's ESP	
3.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Reply with SA-O's ESP
4.	EN1 transmits No Next Header with SA-I's ESP (The ESP sequence number must be incremented according to the packet transmitted at step 2)	
5.	EN1 transmits ICMP Echo Request with SA-O's ESP (The ESP sequence number must be incremented according to the packet transmitted at step 4)	
6.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Reply with SA-O's ESP



Step	Action	Expected Result
7.	Initialize the NUT	
8.	EN1 transmits ICMP Echo Request with SA-I's ESP	
9.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Reply with SA-O's ESP
10.	EN1 transmits No Next Header with SA-O's ESP (The ESP sequence number must be incremented according to the packet transmitted at step 2 and the data in the upper layer consists of random bytes as the plaintext portion)	
11.	EN1 transmits ICMP Echo Request with SA-O's ESP (The ESP sequence number must be incremented according to the packet transmitted at step 4)	
12.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Reply with SA-O's ESP

#### Part B: TFC Padding with No Next Header

### **Possible Problems:**



# IPsec.Conf.2.1.6. Bypass Policy

#### Purpose:

Verify that a NUT (End-Node) can utilize Bypass Policy

#### Initialization:

- Topology
  - Connect the devices according to <u>Common Topology</u>
- Configuration
  - Use <u>Global Security Associations</u>

#### Databases:

Policy 1		
Peer	EN1_Link1	
Mode	Transport	
Remote Address	EN1_Link1	
Local Address	NUT_Link0	
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	
If using Manua	ıl Keys include:	
Incoming SA SA1-I		
Outgoing SA	SA1-0	

Policy 2		
Peer	EN2_Link1	
Mode	BYPASS	
Remote Address	EN1_Link1	
Local Address	NUT_Link0	
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	



#### Packets:

IP Header	Source Address	EN1_Link1
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
	Payload Length	1460
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

# ICMP Echo Request with ESP

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	EN1_Link1
	Payload Length	1460
ESP	SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x2000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-O
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)

# ICMP Echo Reply with ESP

IP Header	Source Address	EN2_Link1
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

## **ICMP Echo Request**

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	EN2_Link1
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)

**ICMP Echo Reply** 





Step	Action	<b>Expected Result</b>
1.	Initialize the NUT	
2.	EN1 transmits ICMP Echo Request with ESP	
3.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Reply with SA-O's ESP
4.	EN2 transmits ICMP Echo Request	
5.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Reply

### **Possible Problems:**

• Instead of specifying an address to bypass, a "bypass others by default" policy may also be enabled to bypass address not covered by an IPsec policy.



# IPsec.Conf.2.1.7. Discard Policy

#### Purpose:

Verify that a NUT (End-Node) can utilize discard policy

#### Initialization:

- Topology
  - Connect the devices according to <u>Common Topology</u>
- Configuration
  - Use <u>Global Security Associations</u>

#### Databases:

Policy 1		
Peer	EN1_Link1	
Mode	Transport	
Remote Address	EN1_Link1	
Local Address	NUT_Link0	
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	
If using Manual Keys include:		
Incoming SA SA1-I		
Outgoing SA	SA1-0	

Policy 2		
Peer	EN2_Link1	
Mode	DISCARD	
Remote Address	EN1_Link1	
Local Address	NUT_Link0	
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	



#### Packets:

IP Header	Source Address	EN1_Link1
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
	Payload Length	1460
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

# ICMP Echo Request with ESP

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0	
	Destination Address	EN1_Link1	
	Payload Length	1460	
ESP	SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x2000	
	Sequence	1	
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-O	
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)	

# ICMP Echo Reply with ESP

IP Header	Source Address	EN2_Link1
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

## **ICMP Echo Request**

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	EN2_Link1
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)

**ICMP Echo Reply** 







Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT	
2.	EN1 transmits ICMP Echo Request with ESP	
3.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Reply with ESP
4.	EN2 transmits ICMP Echo Request	
5.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	The NUT never transmits ICMP Echo Reply

#### **Possible Problems:**

• Instead of specifying an address to discard, a "discard others by default" policy may also be enabled to discard addresses not covered by an IPsec policy.



# IPsec.Conf.2.1.8. Transport Mode Padding

#### **Purpose:**

Verify that a NUT (End-Node) supports padding & padding byte handling

#### Initialization:

- Topology
  - Connect the devices according to <u>Common Topology</u>
- Configuration
  - Use <u>Global Security Associations</u>

#### Databases:

Policy 1		
Peer	EN1_Link1	
Mode	Transport	
Remote Address	EN1_Link1	
Local Address	NUT_Link0	
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	
If using Manual Keys include:		
Incoming SA SA1-I		
Outgoing SA	SA1-0	



## Part A: Transport Mode Padding

#### Packets:

IP Header	Source Address	EN1_Link1
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
	Padding	Sequential
	Padding Length	7
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)
	Data Length	7

# ICMP Echo Request with ESP 1

IP Header	Source Address	EN1_Link1
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
	Padding	Sequential
	Padding Length	255
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)
	Data Length	7

# ICMP Echo Request with ESP 2

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	EN1_Link1
ESP	SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x2000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-0
	Padding Length	7+8n (0 <= n <= 31)
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)
	Data Length	7

ICMP Echo Reply with ESP





Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT	
2.	EN1 transmits ICMP Echo Request with ESP 1	
3.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Reply with ESP
4.	EN1 transmits ICMP Echo Request with ESP 2	
5.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Reply with ESP



# Part B: TFC enabled Transport Mode Padding Packets:

IP Header	Source Address	EN1_Link1
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
UDP	Source Port	10000
	Destination Port	7 (echo)

## UDP Echo Request with SA-I's ESP (TFC Padded)

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	EN1_Link1
ESP	SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x2000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-O
UDP	Source Port	7 (echo)
	Destination Port	10000

UDP Echo Reply with SA-O's ESP

#### **Procedure:**



Step	Action	Expected Result
6.	Initialize the NUT	
7.	EN1 transmits UDP Echo Request with SA-I's ESP (TFC Padded)	
8.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	The NUT transmits UDP Echo Reply with SA-O's ESP

#### **Possible Problems:**



#### IPsec.Conf.2.1.9. Invalid SPI

#### Purpose:

Verify that a NUT (End-Node) correctly processes an, otherwise valid, packet with an invalid SPI

#### Initialization:

- Topology
  - Connect the devices according to <u>Common Topology</u>
- Configuration
  - Use <u>Global Security Associations</u>

#### **Databases:**

Policy 1		
Peer	EN1_Link1	
Mode	Transport	
Remote Address	EN1_Link1	
Local Address	NUT_Link0	
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	
If using Manual Keys include:		
Incoming SA SA1-I		
Outgoing SA	SA1-0	



#### Packets:

IP Header	Source Address	EN1_Link1
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

#### ICMP Echo Request with ESP 1

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	EN1_Link1
ESP	SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x2000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-O
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)

# ICMP Echo Reply with ESP

IP Header	Source Address	EN1_Link1
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ESP	SPI	0x9000 (Different from SA-I's SPD)
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)
ICMD Echo Doguest with ESD 2 (Non-Degistered SDI)		

ICMP Echo Request with ESP 2 (Non-Registered SPI)





Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT	
2.	EN1 transmits ICMP Echo Request with ESP 1	
3.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Reply with ESP
4.	EN1 transmits ICMP Echo Request with ESP 2 (Non-Registered)	
5.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	The NUT never transmits ICMP Echo Reply with ESP

#### **Possible Problems:**



#### IPsec.Conf.2.1.10. Invalid ICV

#### **Purpose:**

Verify that a NUT (End-Node) correctly processes an, otherwise valid, packet with an invalid ICV

#### Initialization:

- Topology
  - Connect the devices according to <u>Common Topology</u>
- Configuration
  - Use <u>Global Security Associations</u>

#### **Databases:**

Policy 1		
Peer	EN1_Link1	
Mode	Transport	
Remote Address	EN1_Link1	
Local Address	NUT_Link0	
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	
If using Manual Keys include:		
Incoming SA SA1-I		
Outgoing SA	SA1-0	



#### Packets:

IP Header	Source Address	EN1_Link1
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)
	Data	"EchoData"

# ICMP Echo Request with ESP 1

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	EN1_Link1
ESP	SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x2000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-0
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)
	Data	"EchoData"

# ICMP Echo Reply with ESP

IP Header	Source Address	EN1_Link1
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000
	Sequence	2
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
	ICV	aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)
	Data	"cracked"

ICMP Echo Request with ESP 2 (ICV is modified)





Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT	
2.	EN1 transmits ICMP Echo Request with ESP 1	
3.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Reply with ESP
4.	EN1 transmits ICMP Echo Request with ESP 2 (ICV is modified)	
5.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	The NUT never transmits ICMP Echo Reply with ESP

#### **Possible Problems:**



# 2.2. IPsec/ESP Architecture (Tunnel Mode)



# IPsec.Conf.2.2.1. Tunnel Mode with End-Node

#### **Purpose:**

Verify that a NUT (End-Node) can build IPsec tunnel mode with End-Node correctly.

#### Initialization:

- Topology
  - Connect the devices according to <u>Common Topology</u>
- Configuration
  - Use <u>Global Security Associations</u>

#### Databases:

Policy 1		
Peer	EN1_Link1	
Mode	Tunnel	
Remote Address	EN1_Link1	
Local Address	NUT_Link0	
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	
If using Manual Keys include:		
ncoming SA SA1-I		
Outgoing SA	SA1-0	



#### Packets:

IP Header	Source Address	EN1_Link1
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
IP Header	Source Address	EN1_Link1
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

#### ICMP Echo Request with ESP

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	EN1_Link1
ESP	SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x2000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-O
IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	EN1_Link1
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)

ICMP Echo Reply with ESP

#### **Procedure:**



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT	
2.	EN1 transmits ICMP Echo Request with ESP	
3.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Reply with ESP

#### **Possible Problems:**



# IPsec.Conf.2.2.2. Tunnel Mode with SGW

#### **Purpose:**

Verify that a NUT (End-Node) can build IPsec tunnel mode with SGW correctly

#### Initialization:

- Topology
  - Connect the devices according to <u>Common Topology 2</u>
- Configuration
  - Use <u>Global Security Associations</u>

#### Databases

Policy 1		
Peer	SGW1_Link1	
Mode	Tunnel	
Remote Address	Link2	
Local Address	NUT_Link0	
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	
If using Manual Keys include:		
Incoming SA	SA1-I	
Outgoing SA	SA1-0	



#### Packets:

IP Header	Source Address	SGW1_Link1
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link2
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

# ICMP Echo Request with ESP

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	SGW1_Link1
ESP	SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x2000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-0
IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	TN1_Link2
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)

ICMP Echo Reply with ESP

#### **Procedure:**



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT	
2.	SGW1 transmits ICMP Echo Request with ESP	
3.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Reply with ESP

#### **Possible Problems:**



#### IPsec.Conf.2.2.3. Tunnel Mode Select SPD

#### **Purpose:**

Verify that a NUT (End-Node) can select the correct SA and Policy between two hosts behind the same SGW

#### Initialization:

- Topology
  - Connect the devices according to <u>Common Topology 2</u>
- Configuration
  - Use <u>Global Security Associations</u>

#### **Databases:**

Policy 1		
Peer	SGW1_Link1	
Mode	Tunnel	
Remote Traffic Selector	TN1_Link2	
Local Traffic Selector	NUT_Link0	
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	
If using Manual Keys include:		
Incoming SA SA1-I		
Outgoing SA	SA1-0	

Policy 2		
Peer	SGW1_Link1	
Mode	Tunnel	
Remote Address	TN2_Link2	
Local Address	NUT_Link0	
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	
If using Manual Keys include:		
ncoming SA SA2-I		
Outgoing SA	SA2-0	

ICMP Echo Reply with ESP 2
----------------------------

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	SGW1_Link1
ESP	SPI	Dynamic4 or 0x4000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-O
IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	TN2_Link2
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)

# ICMP Echo Request with ESP 2

IP Header	Source Address	SGW1_Link1
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ESP	SPI	Dynamic3 or 0x3000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
IP Header	Source Address	TN2_Link2
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

# ICMP Echo Reply with ESP 1

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	SGW1_Link1
ESP	SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x2000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-O
IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	TN1_Link2
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)

# ICMP Echo Request with ESP 1

Packets:		
IP Header	Source Address	SGW1_Link1
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link2
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)





Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT	
2.	SGW1 transmits ICMP Echo Request with ESP 1	
3.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Reply with ESP 1
4.	SGW1 transmits ICMP Echo Request with ESP 2	
5.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Reply with ESP 2

#### **Possible Problems:**



# IPsec.Conf.2.2.4. Tunnel Mode Padding

#### Purpose:

Verify that a NUT (End-Node) supports padding & padding byte handling

## Initialization:

- Topology
  - Connect the devices according to <u>Common Topology 2</u>
- Configuration
  - Use <u>Global Security Associations</u>

#### Databases

Policy 1		
Peer	SGW1_Link1	
Mode	Tunnel	
Remote Address	Link2	
Local Address	NUT_Link0	
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	
If using Manual Keys include:		
Incoming SA	SA1-I	
Outgoing SA	SA1-0	



# *Part A: Tunnel Mode Padding* **Packets:**

I uchetsi		
IP Header	Source Address	SGW1_Link1
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
	Padding	sequential
	Padding Length	7
IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link2
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)
	Data Length	7

#### ICMP Echo Request with ESP 1

GWIP Header	Source Address	SGW1_Link1
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
	Padding	sequential
	Padding Length	255
IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link2
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)
	Data Length	7

# ICMP Echo Request with ESP 2

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	SGW1_Link1
ESP	SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x2000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-O
	Padding Length	7+8n (0 <= n <= 31)
IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	TN1_Link2
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)
	Data Length	7

**ICMP Echo Reply with ESP** 





Step	Action	<b>Expected Result</b>
1.	Initialize the NUT	
2.	SGW1 transmits ICMP Echo Request with ESP 1	
3.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Reply with ESP 1
4.	SGW1 transmits ICMP Echo Request with ESP 2	
5.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Reply with ESP 2



# Part B: TFC enabled Tunnel Mode Padding Packets:

IP Header	Source Address	SGW1_Link1	
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0	
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000	
	Sequence	1	
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I	
IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link2	
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0	
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)	

#### ICMP Echo Request with ESP (TFC Padded)

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	SGW1_Link1
ESP	SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x2000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-0
IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	TN1_Link2
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)

**ICMP Echo Reply with ESP** 

#### **Procedure:**



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT	
2.	SGW1 transmits ICMP Echo Request with ESP (TFC Padded)	
3.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Reply with ESP

#### **Possible Problems:**



# IPsec.Conf.2.2.5. Tunnel Mode Fragmentation

#### Purpose:

Verify that a NUT can reassemble/fragment packets correctly inside ESP Tunnel

#### Initialization:

- Topology
  - Connect the devices according to <u>Common Topology 2</u>
- Configuration
  - Use <u>Global Security Associations</u>

#### Databases

Policy 1		
Peer	SGW1_Link1	
Mode	Tunnel	
Remote Address	Link2	
Local Address	NUT_Link0	
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	
If using Manual Keys include:		
Incoming SA	SA1-I	
Outgoing SA	SA1-0	



#### Packets:

IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link2
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

#### **ICMP Echo Request**

IP Header	Source Address	SGW1_Link1
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link2
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

#### ICMP Echo Request with ESP

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	SGW1_Link1
ESP	SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x2000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-O
IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	TN1_Link2
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)
ICMP Echo Reply with ESP		
IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	TN1_Link2
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)

#### **ICMP Echo Reply**

IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link2
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
	Payload Length	1stPL(=MTU-40) (e.g., 1240)
Fragment	Offset	0
	More Flag	1
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

# Fragmented ICMP Echo Request 1

IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link2
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
	Payload Length	2ndPL(=1476-1stPL)
Fragment	Offset	(1stPL-8)/8
	More Flag	0
Data	Data	Rest of ICMP Echo Request

# Fragmented ICMP Echo Request 2



IP Header	Source Address	SGW1_Link1
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ESP	SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x2000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link2
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
	Payload Length	1stPL
Fragment	Offset	0
	More Flag	1
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

# Fragmented ICMP Echo Request with ESP 1

IP Header	Source Address	SGW1_Link1
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ESP	SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x2000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link2
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
	Payload Length	2ndPL
Fragment	Offset	(1stPL-8)/8
	More Flag	0
Data	Data	Rest of ICMP Echo Request
Fragmonted ICMD Echo Dequast with ESD 2		

Fragmented ICMP Echo Request with ESP 2

IP Header	Source Address	SGW1_Link1
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
IP Header	Source Address	SGW1_LINK1
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ICMP	Туре	2 (Packet Too Big)
	MTU	1280 <= n <= 1430 (e.g., 1280)
	Data	1232Byte of ICMP Echo Reply B

ICMP Packet Too Big with ESP



IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	SGW1_Link1
ESP	SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x2000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-O
IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	TN1_Link2
	Payload Length	1stPL
Fragment	Offset	0
	More Flag	1
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)

# Fragmented ICMP Echo Reply with ESP 1

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	SGW1_Link1
ESP	SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x2000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-O
IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	TN1_Link2
	Payload Length	2ndPL
Fragment	Offset	(1stPL-8)/8
	More Flag	0
Data	Data	Rest of ICMP Echo Reply

#### Fragmented ICMP Echo Reply with ESP 2

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	TN1_Link2
	Payload Length	1stPL(=MTU-40) (e.g., 1240)
Fragment	Offset	0
	More Flag	1
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)

#### Fragmented ICMP Echo Reply 1

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	TN1_Link2
	Payload Length	2ndPL(=1476-1stPL)
Fragment	Offset	(1stPL-8)/8
	More Flag	0
Data	Data	Rest of ICMP Echo Reply

Fragmented ICMP Echo Reply 2







Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT	
2.	SGW1 sends ICMP Echo Request with ESP from TN1 to NUT	
3.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Reply with ESP to TN1
4.	SGW1 sends Fragmented ICMP Echo Request with ESP 1 and Fragmented ICMP Echo Request with ESP 2 from TN1 to the NUT	
5.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	The NUT reassembles ICMP Echo Request and transmits fully assembled ICMP Echo Reply with ESP to TN1
6.	SGW1 sends ICMP Packet Too Big Message with ESP to the NUT	
7.	SGW1 sends ICMP Echo Request with ESP 1 and ICMP Echo Request with ESP 2 from TN1 to the NUT	
8.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	The NUT reassembles ICMP Echo Request and transmits Fragmented ICMP Echo Reply with ESP 1 and Fragmented ICMP Echo Reply with ESP 2 to TN1

#### **Possible Problems:**


# Section 3: SGW Test

This Chapter describes the test specification for SGW.

The test specification consists of 2 parts. One is regarding "IPsec Architecture" and another part is regarding to "Encryption and Integrity Algorithms".



# **3.1. IPsec/ESP Architecture**



## IPsec.Conf.3.1.1. Select SPD (2 SGW Peers) Purpose:

Verify that a NUT (SGW) selects appropriate SPD

## Initialization:

- Topology
  - Connect the devices according to <u>Common Topology 4</u>
- Configuration
  - Use <u>Global Security Associations</u>

## Databases

Policy 1		
Peer	SGW1_Link2	
Mode	Tunnel	
Remote Traffic SelectorLink3		
Local Traffic Selector Link0		
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	
If using Manual Keys include:		
Incoming SA SA1-I		
Outgoing SA	SA1-0	

Policy 2		
Peer	SGW2_Link2	
Mode	Tunnel	
Remote Address Link4		
Local Address	Link0	
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	
If using Manual Keys include:		
Incoming SA SA2-I		
Outgoing SA	SA2-0	



#### **Packets**

IP Header	Source Address	TN2_Link3
	Destination Address	TN1_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

#### **ICMP Echo Request 1**

IP Header	Source Address	SGW1_Link2
	Destination Address	NUT_Link1
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA1-I
IP Header	Source Address	TN2_Link3
	Destination Address	TN1_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)
ICMD Esha Daguast with CA1 Va ECD		

#### ICMP Echo Request with SA1-I's ESP

IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link0
	Destination Address	TN2_Link3
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)

### **ICMP Echo Reply 1**

Source Address	NUT_Link1
Destination Address	SGW1_Link2
SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x2000
Sequence	1
Encrypted Data/ICV	SA1-0
Source Address	TN1_Link0
Destination Address	TN2_Link3
Туре	129 (Echo Reply)
	Source Address Destination Address SPI Sequence Encrypted Data/ICV Source Address Destination Address Type

#### ICMP Echo Reply with SA1-O's ESP

IP Header	Source Address	TN4_Link4	
	Destination Address	TN1_Link0	
ICMP Type 128 (Echo Request)			
ICMP Echo Request 2			

#### IP Header Source Address SGW2\_Link2 Destination Address NUT\_Link1 ESP SPI Dynamic3 or 0x3000 Sequence 1 Encrypted Data/ICV SA2-I IP Header Source Address TN4\_Link4 Destination Address TN1\_Link0 128 (Echo Request) ICMP Type

ICMP Echo Request with SA2-I's ESP



IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link0	
	Destination Address	TN4_Link4	
ICMP Type 129 (Echo Reply)			

## ICMP Echo Reply 2

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link1
	Destination Address	SGW2_Link2
ESP	SPI	Dynamic4 or 0x4000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA2-0
IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link0
	Destination Address	TN4_Link4
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)

ICMP Echo Reply with SA2-O's ESP

### **Procedure:**





Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT	
2.	SGW1 transmits ICMP Echo Request with SA1-I's ESP (originally from TN2)	
3.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0 and Link1	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Request 1
4.	TN1 sends ICMP Echo Reply 1	
5.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0 and Link1	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Reply with SA1-O's ESP
6.	SGW2 transmits ICMP Echo Request with SA2-I's ESP (originally from TN4)	
7.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0 and Link1	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Request 2
8.	TN1 sends ICMP Echo Reply 2	
9.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0 and Link1	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Reply with SA2-O's ESP

## **Possible Problems:**

• None



# IPsec.Conf.3.1.2. Select SPD (2 Hosts behind same Peer)

## Purpose:

Verify that a NUT (SGW) selects appropriate SPD, for 2 Hosts behind 1 SGW

## Initialization:

- Topology
  - Connect the devices according to <u>Common Topology 4</u>
- Configuration
  - Use <u>Global Security Associations</u>

## Databases:

Policy 1		
Peer	SGW1_Link2	
Mode	Tunnel	
Remote Traffic SelectorTN2_Link3		
Local Traffic Selector	Link0	
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	
If using Manual Keys include:		
Incoming SA SA1-I		
Outgoing SA	SA1-0	

Policy 2		
Peer	SGW1_Link2	
Mode	Tunnel	
Remote Address TN3_Link3		
Local Address	Link0	
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	
If using Manual Keys include:		
Incoming SA SA2-I		
Outgoing SA	SA2-0	



#### Packets:

IP Header	Source Address	TN2_Link3
	Destination Address	TN1_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

#### **ICMP Echo Request 1**

IP Header	Source Address	SGW1_Link2
	Destination Address	NUT_Link1
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA1-I
IP Header	Source Address	TN2_Link3
	Destination Address	TN1_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)
ICMD Eak a Desuce to with CA1 Va ECD		

#### ICMP Echo Request with SA1-I's ESP

IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link0
	Destination Address	TN2_Link3
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)

### **ICMP Echo Reply 1**

Source Address	NUT_Link1
Destination Address	SGW1_Link2
SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x2000
Sequence	1
Encrypted Data/ICV	SA1-0
Source Address	TN1_Link0
Destination Address	TN2_Link3
Туре	129 (Echo Reply)
	Source Address Destination Address SPI Sequence Encrypted Data/ICV Source Address Destination Address Type

#### ICMP Echo Reply with SA1-O's ESP

IP Header	Source Address	TN3_Link3
	Destination Address	TN1_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)
ICMP Echo Request 2		

#### IP Header Source Address SGW1\_Link2 Destination Address NUT\_Link1 ESP SPI Dynamic3 or 0x3000 Sequence 1 Encrypted Data/ICV SA2-I IP Header Source Address TN3\_Link3 Destination Address TN1\_Link0 128 (Echo Request) ICMP Type

ICMP Echo Request with SA2-I's ESP



IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link0
	Destination Address	TN3_Link3
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)

## ICMP Echo Reply 2

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link1
	Destination Address	SGW1_Link2
ESP	SPI	Dynamic4 or 0x4000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA2-0
IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link0
	Destination Address	TN3_Link3
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)

ICMP Echo Reply with SA2-O's ESP





Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT	
2.	EN1 transmits ICMP Echo Request with SA1-I's ESP (originally from TN2)	
3.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0 and Link1	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Request 1
4.	TN1 sends ICMP Echo Reply 1	
5.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0 and Link1	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Reply with SA1-O's ESP
6.	EN1 sends ICMP Echo Request with SA2- I's ESP (originally from TN3)	
7.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0 and Link1	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Request 2
8.	TN1 sends ICMP Echo Reply 2	
9.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0 and Link1	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Reply with SA2-O's ESP

## **Possible Problems:**

• None



## IPsec.Conf.3.1.3. Sequence Number Increment

## Purpose:

Verify that a NUT (SGW) increases sequence number correctly, starting with 1.

## Initialization:

- Topology
  - Connect the devices according to <u>Common Topology 4</u>
- Configuration
  - Use <u>Global Security Associations</u>

## Databases:

Set NUT's SAD and SPD according to the following:

Policy 1		
Peer	SGW1_Link2	
Mode	Tunnel	
Remote Traffic Selector	Link3	
Local Traffic Selector	Link0	
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	
If using Manual Keys include:		
Incoming SA SA1-I		
Outgoing SA SA1-0		

#### Packets:

IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link0
	Destination Address	TN2_Link3
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

## ICMP Echo Request

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link1
	Destination Address	SGW1_Link2
ESP	SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x2000
	Sequence	$1^{st} = 1, 2^{nd} = 2$
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link0
	Destination Address	TN2_Link3
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)
	Data Length	7

## ICMP Echo Request with ESP



#### **Procedure:**



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT	
2.	TN1 sends ICMP Echo Request	
3.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0 and Link1	The NUT transmits an ICMP Echo Request with ESP with an ESP Sequence number of 1
4.	TN1 sends ICMP Echo Request	
5.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0 and Link1	The NUT transmits an ICMP Echo Request with ESP with an ESP Sequence number of 2

## **Possible Problems:**

• None



## IPsec.Conf.3.1.4. Packet Too Big Transmission

## **Purpose:**

Verify that a NUT (SGW) transmits the ICMP Error Message (Packet Too Big) correctly

## Initialization:

- Topology
  - Connect the devices according to <u>Common Topology 4</u>
- Configuration
  - Use <u>Global Security Associations</u>

## Databases:

Set NUT's SAD and SPD according to the following:

Policy 1		
Peer	SGW1_Link2	
Mode	Tunnel	
Remote Traffic SelectorLink3		
Local Traffic Selector	Link0	
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	
If using Manual Keys include:		
Incoming SA SA1-I		
Outgoing SA	SA1-0	

#### Packets:

IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link0
	Destination Address	TN2_Link3
	Payload Length	1460
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

## **ICMP Echo Request**

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	TN1_Link0
ICMP	Туре	2 (Packet Too Big)
	MTU	1280 <= n <= 1430 (e.g., 1280)
	Data	1232Byte of ICMP Echo Request

ICMP Error Message (Packet Too Big)



IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link0
	Destination Address	TN2_Link3
	Payload Length	1stPL(=MTU-40) (e.g., 1240)
Fragment	Offset	0
	More Flag	1
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

## Fragmented ICMP Echo Request 1

IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link0
	Destination Address	TN2_Link3
	Payload Length	2ndPL(=1476-1stPL)
Fragment	Offset	(1stPL-8)/8
	More Flag	0
Data	Data	Rest of ICMP Echo Request

#### Fragmented ICMP Echo Request 2

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link1
	Destination Address	SGW1_Link2
ESP	SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x2000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link0
	Destination Address	TN2_Link3
	Payload Length	1stPL
Fragment	Offset	0
	More Flag	1
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

## Fragmented ICMP Echo Request with ESP 1

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link1
	Destination Address	SGW1_Link2
ESP	SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x2000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link0
	Destination Address	TN2_Link3
	Payload Length	2ndPL
Fragment	Offset	(1stPL-8)/8
	More Flag	0
Data	Data	Rest of ICMP Echo Request

Fragmented ICMP Echo Request with ESP 2



### **Procedure:**



Step	Action	<b>Expected Result</b>
1.	Initialize the NUT	
2.	TN1 sends ICMP Echo Request	
3.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0 and Link1	The NUT transmits ICMP Error Message (Packet Too Big)
4.	TN1 sends Fragmented ICMP Echo Request 1 and Fragmented ICMP Echo Request 2	
5.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0 and Link1	The NUT transmits Fragmented ICMP Echo Request with ESP 1 and Fragmented ICMP Echo Request with ESP 2

## **Possible Problems:**

• None



## IPsec.Conf.3.1.5. Packet Too Big Forwarding

## Purpose:

Verify that a NUT (SGW) forwards the ICMP Error Message (Packet Too Big) correctly when the original Host cannot be determined

## Initialization:

- Topology
  - Connect the devices according to <u>Common Topology 4</u>
- Configuration
  - Use <u>Global Security Associations</u>

### Databases:

Policy 1	
Peer	SGW1_Link2
Mode	Tunnel
Remote Traffic Selector	Link3
Local Traffic Selector	Link0
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY
If using Manual Keys include:	
Incoming SA	SA1-I
Outgoing SA	SA1-0



#### Packets:

IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link0
	Destination Address	TN2_Link3
	Payload Length	1360
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

### **ICMP Echo Request**

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link1
	Destination Address	SGW1_Link2
ESP	SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x2000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-0
IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link0
	Destination Address	TN2_Link3
	Payload Length	1360
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

## ICMP Echo Request with ESP

IP Header	Source Address	TR1_Link2
	Destination Address	NUT_Link1
ICMP	Туре	2 (Packet Too Big)
	MTU	1356
	Data	1232Byte of ICMP Echo Request

#### ICMP Error Message to NUT (Packet Too Big)

IP Header	Source Address	TR1_Link2 or NUT_Link1
	Destination Address	TN1_Link0
ICMP	Туре	2 (Packet Too Big)
	MTU	1280 - 1286
	Data	1232Byte of ICMP Echo Request
ICMD Error Massage to TN1 (Decket Teo Pig)		

#### ICMP Error Message to TN1 (Packet Too Big)

IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link0	
	Destination Address	TN2_Link3	
	Payload Length	1240	
Fragment	Offset	0	
	More Flag	1	
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)	

#### Fragmented ICMP Echo Request 1

IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link0
	Destination Address	TN2_Link3
	Payload Length	136
Fragment	Offset	154
	More Flag	0
Data	Data	Rest of ICMP Echo Request



## **Fragmented ICMP Echo Request 2**

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link1
	Destination Address	SGW1_Link2
ESP	SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x2000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-O
IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link0
	Destination Address	TN2_Link3
	Payload Length	1240
Fragment	Offset	0
	More Flag	1
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)
Ergemented ICMD Echo Dequest with ESD 1		

Fragmented ICMP Echo Request with ESP 1

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link1
	Destination Address	SGW1_Link2
ESP	SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x2000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-0
IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link0
	Destination Address	TN2_Link3
	Payload Length	136
Fragment	Offset	154
	More Flag	0
Data	Data	Rest of ICMP Echo Request

Fragmented ICMP Echo Request with ESP 2







Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT	
2.	TN1 sends ICMP Echo Request	
3.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0 and Link1	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Request with ESP
4.	TR1 sends ICMP Error Message to NUT (Packet Too Big)	
5.	TN1 sends ICMP Echo Request	The NUT transmits Fragmented ICMP Echo Request with ESP 1 and Fragmented ICMP Echo Request with ESP 2
6.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0 and Link1	The NUT transmits ICMP Error Message to TN1 (Packet Too Big)
7.	TN1 sends Fragmented ICMP Echo Request 1 and Fragmented ICMP Echo Request 2	
8.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0 and Link1	The NUT transmits Fragmented ICMP Echo Request with ESP 1 and Fragmented ICMP Echo Request with ESP 2

## **Possible Problems:**

• The NUT (SGW) may choose to process the ICMPv6 Packet Too Big PMTU information on the ciphertext side of the interface. In this case, the NUT will not generate and send a Packet Too Big Message to TN1, but will instead transmit fragmented ESP Packets from after tunneling and applying ESP to the Echo Request from TN1. TN1 will continue to transmit whole packets. See RFC 4301 Section 2.1, and reference diagram below.







## IPsec.Conf.3.1.6. Receipt of No Next Header

## **Purpose:**

Verify that a NUT (SGW) can process the dummy packet (the protocol value 59) correctly.

## Initialization:

- Topology
  - Connect the devices according to <u>Common Topology 4</u>
- Configuration
  - Use <u>Global Security Associations</u>

## Databases:

Policy 1		
Peer	SGW1_Link2	
Mode	Tunnel	
Remote Traffic Selector	Link3	
Local Traffic Selector	Link0	
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	
If using Manual Keys include:		
Incoming SA SA1-I		
Outgoing SA SA1-0		



#### Packets:

IP Header	Source Address	TN2 Link3
	Destination Address	 TN1_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

## **ICMP Echo Request**

IP Header	Source Address	SGW1_Link2
	Destination Address	NUT_Link1
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
IP Header	Source Address	TN2_Link3
	Destination Address	TN1_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

#### ICMP Echo Request with ESP

IP Header	Source Address	SGW1_Link2
	Destination Address	NUT_Link1
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
	Next Header	no next header (59)
Upper Layer	Data	See below

#### No Next Header with ESP

Part A: No Next Header without TFC Padding	empty
Part B: No Next Header with TFC Padding	random bytes





## Part A: No Next Header

Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT	
2.	EN1 sends ICMP Echo Request with ESP	
3.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0 and Link1	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Request
4.	EN1 sends No Next Header with ESP	
5.	The ESP sequence number must be 1 greater than the packet transmitted at step 2	
6.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0 and Link1	The NUT does not transmit any packets
7.	EN1 sends ICMP Echo Request with ESP	
8.	The ESP sequence number must be 1 greater than the packet transmitted at step 4	
9.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0 and Link1	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Request

## Part B: TFC Padding with No Next Header

Step	Action	Expected Result
10.	Initialize the NUT	
11.	EN1 sends ICMP Echo Request with ESP	



12.	Observe the packets transmitted on	The NUT transmits ICMP
	Link0 and Link1	Echo Request
13.	EN1 sends No Next Header with ESP	
	The ESP sequence number must be 1	
14.	greater than the packet transmitted at	
	step 2	
1 5	Observe the packets transmitted on	The NUT does not
15.	Link0 and Link1	transmit any packets
16	EN1 sends ICMP Echo Request with ESP	
10.	Livi Senus form Leno Request with Lor	
	The ESP sequence number must be 1	
17.	greater than the packet transmitted at	
	step 4	
10	Observe the packets transmitted on	The NUT transmits ICMP
18.	Link0 and Link1	Echo Request

## **Possible Problems:**

• None



## IPsec.Conf.3.1.7. Bypass Policy

## Purpose:

Verify that a NUT (End-Node) can utilize Bypass Policy

## Initialization:

- Topology
  - Connect the devices according to <u>Common Topology 4</u>
- Configuration
  - Use <u>Global Security Associations</u>

## Databases:

Policy 1		
Peer	SGW1_Link2	
Mode	Tunnel	
Remote Traffic Selector	Link3	
Local Traffic Selector	Link0	
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	
If using Manual Keys include:		
Incoming SA SA1-I		
Outgoing SA	SA1-0	

Policy 2		
Peer	N/A	
Mode	BYPASS	
Remote Address	Link4	
Local Address NUT_Link0		
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	



#### Packets:

IP Header	Source Address	TN2_Link3
	Destination Address	TN1_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

## **ICMP Echo Request 1**

IP Header	Source Address	SGW1_Link2
	Destination Address	NUT_Link1
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
IP Header	Source Address	TN2_Link3
	Destination Address	TN1_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

## ICMP Echo Request with ESP

IP Header	Source Address	TN4_Link4
	Destination Address	TN1_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

**ICMP Echo Request 2** 



## **Procedure:**



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT	
2.	SGW1 sends ICMP Echo Request with ESP	
3.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0 and Link1	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Request 1
4.	SGW1 forwards ICMP Echo Request 2	
5.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0 and Link1	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Request 2

## **Possible Problems:**

• Instead of specifying an address to bypass, a "bypass others by default" policy may also be enabled to bypass address not covered by an IPsec policy.



## IPsec.Conf.3.1.8. Discard Policy

## **Purpose:**

Verify that a NUT (End-Node) can utilize Discard Policy

## Initialization:

- Topology
  - Connect the devices according to <u>Common Topology 4</u>
- Configuration
  - Use <u>Global Security Associations</u>

#### Databases:

Policy 1		
Peer	SGW1_Link2	
Mode	Tunnel	
Remote Traffic Selector	Link3	
Local Traffic Selector	Link0	
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	
If using Manual Keys include:		
Incoming SA SA1-I		
Outgoing SA	SA1-0	

Policy 2		
Peer	N/A	
Mode	DISCARD	
Remote Address Link4		
Local Address NUT_Link0		
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	



#### Packets:

IP Header	Source Address	TN2_Link3
	Destination Address	TN1_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

## ICMP Echo Request 1

IP Header	Source Address	SGW1_Link2
	Destination Address	NUT_Link1
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
IP Header	Source Address	TN2_Link3
	Destination Address	TN1_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

## ICMP Echo Request with ESP

IP Header	Source Address	TN4_Link4
	Destination Address	TN1_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

**ICMP Echo Request 2** 



## **Procedure:**



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT	
2.	SGW1 sends ICMP Echo Request with ESP	
3.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0 and Link1	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Request 1
4.	TN4 sends ICMP Echo Request 2	
5.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0 and Link1	The NUT never transmits ICMP Echo Request 2

## **Possible Problems:**

• Instead of specifying an address to discard, a "discard others by default" policy may also be enabled to discard addresses not covered by an IPsec policy.



## IPsec.Conf.3.1.9. Tunnel Mode Padding

## Purpose:

Verify that a NUT (SGW) supports padding & padding byte handling

## Initialization:

- Topology
  - Connect the devices according to <u>Common Topology 4</u>
- Configuration
  - Use <u>Global Security Associations</u>

## Databases:

Policy 1		
Peer	SGW1_Link2	
Mode	Tunnel	
Remote Traffic Selector	Link3	
Local Traffic Selector	Link0	
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	
If using Manual Keys include:		
Incoming SA SA1-I		
Outgoing SA	SA1-0	



## Part A: Tunnel Mode Padding

## Packets:

IP Header	Source Address	TN2_Link3
	Destination Address	TN1_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

## **ICMP Echo Request**

IP Header	Source Address	SGW1_Link2
	Destination Address	NUT_Link1
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
	Padding	Sequential
	Padding Length	7+8n (0 <= n <= 31)
IP Header	Source Address	TN2_Link3
	Destination Address	TN1_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)
	Data Length	7

## ICMP Echo Request with ESP

IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link0
	Destination Address	TN2_Link3
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)

#### **ICMP Echo Reply**

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link1
	Destination Address	SGW1_Link2
ESP	SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x2000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-0
	Padding	Sequential
	Padding Length	7+8n (0 <= n <= 31)
IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link0
	Destination Address	TN2_Link3
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)
	Data Length	7

ICMP Echo Reply with ESP







Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT	
2.	SGW1 sends ICMP Echo Request with ESP (Padding length=7)	
3.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0 and Link1	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Request
4.	TN1 sends ICMP Echo Reply	
5.	Observe the packet transmitted by NUT	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Reply with ESP
6.	SGW1 sends ICMP Echo Request with ESP (Padding length=255)	
7.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0 and Link1	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Request
8.	TN1 sends ICMP Echo Reply	
9.	Observe the packet transmitted by NUT	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Reply with ESP



## Part B: TFC enabled Tunnel Mode Padding

#### Packets:

IP Header	Source Address	SGW1_Link2
	Destination Address	NUT_Link1
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
IP Header	Source Address	TN2_Link3
	Destination Address	TN1_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

## ICMP Echo Request with ESP (TFC Padded)

IP Header	Source Address	TN2_Link3
	Destination Address	TN1_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

**ICMP Echo Request** 

## Procedure:



SGW1 sends ICMP Echo Request with	
ESP (TFC Padded)	
0bserve the packets transmitted on The NUT transmits I	CMP
Link0 and Link1 Echo Request	

#### **Possible Problems:**

• None



## IPsec.Conf.3.1.10. Invalid SPI

## Purpose:

Verify that a NUT (End-Node) correctly processes an, otherwise valid, packet with an invalid SPI

#### Initialization:

- Topology
  - Connect the devices according to <u>Common Topology 4</u>
- Configuration
  - Use <u>Global Security Associations</u>

#### **Databases:**

Set NUT's SAD and SPD according to the following:

Policy 1		
Peer	SGW1_Link2	
Mode	Tunnel	
Remote Traffic SelectorLink3		
Local Traffic Selector	Link0	
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	
If using Manual Keys include:		
Incoming SA SA1-I		
Outgoing SA	SA1-0	

#### Packets:

IP Header	Source Address	TN2_Link3
	Destination Address	TN1_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

#### **ICMP Echo Request**

IP Header	Source Address	SGW1_Link2		
	Destination Address	NUT_Link1		
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000		
	Sequence Number	1		
	Sequence	1		
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I		
IP Header	Source Address	TN2_Link3		
	Destination Address	TN1_Link0		
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)		

#### **ICMP Echo Request with ESP**

<b>P</b> ]	Header
------------	--------

I

SGW1\_Link2

IPv6 FORUM TECHNICAL DOCUMENT 251

Source Address


	Destination Address	NUT_Link1
ESP	SPI	0x9000 (different from SA-I's SPD)
	Sequence Number	1
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
IP Header	Source Address	TN2_Link3
	Destination Address	TN1_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

ICMP Echo Request with ESP (Non-registered SPI)

#### **Procedure:**

Г



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT	
2.	SGW1 sends ICMP Echo Request with	
3.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0 and Link1	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Request
4.	SGW1 sends ICMP Echo Request with ESP (Non-registered SPI)	
5.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0 and Link1	The NUT never transmits ICMP Echo Request

#### **Possible Problems:**

• None

٦



### IPsec.Conf.3.1.11. Invalid ICV

#### Purpose:

Verify that a NUT (End-Node) correctly processes an, otherwise valid, packet with an invalid SPI

#### Initialization:

- Topology
  - Connect the devices according to <u>Common Topology 4</u>
- Configuration
  - Use <u>Global Security Associations</u>

#### **Databases:**

Set NUT's SAD and SPD according to the following:

Policy 1		
Peer	SGW1_Link2	
Mode	Tunnel	
Remote Traffic Selector Link3		
Local Traffic Selector	Link0	
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	
If using Manual Keys include:		
Incoming SA SA1-I		
Outgoing SA	SA1-0	

#### Packets:

IP Header	Source Address	TN2_Link3
	Destination Address	TN1_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)
	Data	"PadLen is zero"

#### **ICMP Echo Request**

IP Header	Source Address	SGW1_Link2
	Destination Address	NUT_Link1
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
IP Header	Source Address	TN2_Link3
	Destination Address	TN1_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)
	Data	"PadLen is zero"

## ICMP Echo Request with ESP



IP Header	Source Address	SGW1_Link2
	Destination Address	NUT_Link1
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000
	Sequence	2
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
	ICV	aaaaaaaaa
IP Header	Source Address	TN2_Link3
	Destination Address	TN1_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)
	Data	"cracked"

ICMP Echo Request with ESP (Incorrect ICV)

#### Procedure:



Step	Action	Expected Result
1.	Initialize the NUT	
2.	SGW1 sends ICMP Echo Request with	
	ESP	
2	Observe the packets transmitted on	The NUT transmits ICMP
5.	Link0 and Link1	Echo Request
Λ	SGW1 sends ICMP Echo Request with	
4.	ESP (Incorrect ICV)	
F	Observe the packets transmitted on	The NUT never transmits
5.	Link0 and Link1	ICMP Echo Request

## **Possible Problems:**



### IPsec.Conf.3.1.12. Tunnel Mode with End-Node

### **Purpose:**

Verify that a NUT (SGW) can build IPsec tunnel mode with End-Node correctly

#### Initialization:

- Topology
  - Connect the devices according to <u>Common Topology 3</u>
- Configuration
  - Use <u>Global Security Associations</u>

#### Databases:

Set NUT's SAD and SPD according to the following:

Policy 1		
Peer	EN1_Link2	
Mode	Tunnel	
Remote Traffic Selector	EN1_Link2	
Local Traffic Selector	Link0	
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	
If using Manual Keys include:		
Incoming SA SA1-I		
Outgoing SA	SA1-0	

#### Packets:

Source Address	EN1_Link2
Destination Address	NUT_Link1
SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000
Sequence	1
Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
Source Address	SGW1_Link2
Destination Address	TN1_Link0
Туре	128 (Echo Request)
	Source Address Destination Address SPI Sequence Encrypted Data/ICV Source Address Destination Address Type

#### ICMP Echo Request with ESP

IP Header	Source Address	EN1_Link2
	Destination Address	TN1_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

#### **ICMP Echo Request**

IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link0
	Destination Address	EN1_Link2

IPv6 FORUM TECHNICAL DOCUMENT 255



ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)
ICMP Echo Reply		
IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link1
	Destination Address	EN1_Link2
ESP	SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x2000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-0
IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link0
	Destination Address	EN1_Link2
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)

## ICMP Echo Reply with ESP

#### **Procedure:**



Step	Action	<b>Expected Result</b>
1.	Initialize the NUT	
2.	EN1 transmits ICMP Echo Request with ESP	
3.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Request
4.	TN1 transmits ICMP Echo Reply	
5.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0 and Link1	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Reply with ESP

#### **Possible Problems:**



## Section 4: Algorithms

This Chapter reviews the test cases for the various algorithms that are used with IKEv2 and IPsec/ESP.



## **4.1. ESP Algorithms**

# ESP Common Configurations

## Algorithm List

The test case parts itemized below are used in this section, and are referred to by each test case.

Part	Encryption Algorithm	Integrity Algorithm	Keying	Requirement
Α	ENCR_AES_CBC (128-bit)	AUTH_HMAC_SHA2_256_128	IKEv2 or Manual	Basic
В	ENCR_AES_CBC (256-bit)	AUTH_HMAC_SHA2_256_128	IKEv2 or Manual	Basic
С	ENCR_AES_CBC (256-bit)	AUTH_HMAC_SHA2_512_256	IKEv2 or Manual	Basic
D	ENCR_NULL	AUTH_HMAC_SHA2_256_128	IKEv2 or Manual	Basic
Е	ENCR_NULL	AUTH_AES_XCBC_96	IKEv2 or Manual	Advanced
F	ENCR_NULL	AUTH_HMAC_SHA1_96	IKEv2 or Manual	Basic
G	ENCR_AES_CCM_8 (128-bit)	N/A	IKEv2	Advanced
Н	ENCR_AES_GCM_16 (128-bit)	N/A	IKEv2	Basic
I	ENCR_AES_GCM_16 (256-bit)	N/A	IKEv2	Basic
J	ENCR_NULL_AUTH_AES_GMAC (128-bit)	N/A	IKEv2	Advanced
К	ENCR_NULL_AUTH_AES_GMAC (256-bit)	N/A	IKEv2	Advanced
L	ENCR_CHACHA20_POLY1305	N/A	IKEv2	Advanced



## **Manual Key Settings**

Part	SA	Direction	SPI	Keys
Α	SA1-I	IN	0x1000	E ipv6readaescin01
				A ipv6readylogoph2ipsecsha2256in01
	SA1-	OUT	0x2000	E ipv6readaescout1
	Ũ			A ipv6readylogoph2ipsecsha2256out1
В	SA1-I	IN	0x1000	E ipv6readylogoph2ipsecaesc256in01
				A ipv6readylogoph2ipsecsha2256in01
	SA1- 0	OUT	0x2000	E ipv6readylogoph2ipsecaesc256out1
	-			A ipv6readylogoph2ipsecsha2256out1
C	SA1-I	IN	0x1000	E ipv6readylogoph2ipsecaesc256in01
				A ipvsixreadylogophasetwoipsecconformancealghmacsha2fiveonetwoin01
	SA1- O	OUT	0x2000	E ipv6readylogoph2ipsecaesc256out1
				A ipvsixreadylogophasetwoipsecconformancealghmacsha2fiveonetwoout1
D	SA1-I	IN	0x1000	E N/A
				A ipv6readylogoph2ipsecsha2256in01
	SA1- O	OUT	0x2000	E N/A
				A ipv6readylogoph2ipsecsha2256out1
E	SA1-I	IN	0x1000	E N/A
				A ipv6readaesxin01
	SA1- 0	OUT	0x2000	E N/A
				A ipv6readaesxout1
F	SA1-I	IN	0x1000	E N/A
				A ipv6readylogsha1in01
	SA1-	OUT	0x2000	E N/A
	0			A ipv6readylogsha1out1

See appendix for notes regarding tests for which Manual Keys are disallowed.



## IPsec.Conf.4.1.1. End-Node ESP Algorithms (Transport Mode)

#### **Purpose:**

Verify that an End-Node device can correctly utilize various algorithms in Transport Mode

#### Initialization:

- Topology
  - Connect the devices according to <u>Common Topology</u>
- Configuration
  - Use <u>ESP Common Configurations</u> combined with the below configurations
  - In addition, use the algorithms specified in each part, using Manual Keys only if IKEv2 is unsupported

#### Databases:

Set NUT's SAD and SPD according to the following:

Policy 1		
Peer	EN1_Link1	
Mode	Transport	
Remote Address	EN1_Link1	
Local Address	NUT_Link0	
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	
If using Manual Keys include:		
Incoming SA	SA1-I	
Outgoing SA	SA1-0	



#### Packets:

Source Address	EN1_Link1
Destination Address	NUT_Link0
SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000
Sequence	1
Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
Туре	128 (Echo Request)
	Source Address Destination Address SPI Sequence Encrypted Data/ICV Type

#### ICMP Echo Request with ESP

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	EN1_Link1
ESP	SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x2000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-O
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)

## ICMP Echo Reply with ESP

#### **Procedure:**



### All Parts: Algorithms

Step	Action	<b>Expected Result</b>
1.	Initialize the NUT	
2.	EN1 transmits ICMP Echo Request with ESP	
3.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Reply with ESP

#### **Possible Problems:**



## IPsec.Conf.4.1.2. End-Node ESP Algorithms (Tunnel Mode) Purpose:

Verify that an End-Node device can correctly utilize various algorithms in Tunnel Mode

#### Initialization:

- Topology
  - Connect the devices according to <u>Common Topology</u>
- Configuration
  - Use <u>ESP Common Configurations</u> combined with the below configurations
  - In addition, use the algorithms specified in each part, using Manual Keys only if IKEv2 is unsupported

#### Databases:

Set NUT's SAD and SPD according to the following:

Policy 1		
Peer	EN1_Link1	
Mode	Tunnel	
Remote Address	EN1_Link1	
Local Address	NUT_Link0	
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	
If using Manual Keys include:		
Incoming SA	SA1-I	
Outgoing SA	SA1-0	



#### Packets:

IP Header	Source Address	EN1_Link1
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ESP	SPI	Dynamic1 or 0x1000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
IP Header	Source Address	EN1_Link1
	Destination Address	NUT_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

### ICMP Echo Request with ESP

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	EN1_Link1
ESP	SPI	Dynamic2 or 0x2000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-O
IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link0
	Destination Address	EN1_Link1
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)

**ICMP Echo Reply with ESP** 

**Procedure:** 



#### All Parts: Algorithms

Step	Action	<b>Expected Result</b>
1.	Initialize the NUT	
2.	EN1 transmits ICMP Echo Request with ESP	
3.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Reply with ESP

#### **Possible Problems:**



### IPsec.Conf.4.1.3. SGW ESP Algorithms

#### **Purpose:**

Verify that an SGW device can correctly utilize various algorithms

#### Initialization:

- Topology
  - Connect the devices according to <u>Common Topology 4</u>
- Configuration
  - Use <u>ESP Common Configurations</u> combined with the below configurations
  - $\circ~$  In addition, use the algorithms specified in each part, using Manual Keys only if IKEv2 is unsupported

#### Databases:

Set NUT's SAD and SPD according to the following:

Policy 1		
Peer	SGW1_Link2	
Mode	Tunnel	
Remote Traffic Selector	Link3	
Local Traffic Selector	Link0	
Protocol/Port	ANY/ANY	
If using Manual Keys include:		
Incoming SA	SA1-I	
Outgoing SA	SA1-0	



#### Packets:

IP Header	Source Address	SGW1_Link2
	Destination Address	NUT_Link1
ESP SPI		Dynamic1 or 0x1000
	Sequence	1
	Encrypted Data/ICV	SA-I
IP Header Source Address		TN2_Link3
	Destination Address	TN1_Link0
ICMP Туре		128 (Echo Request)

### ICMP Echo Request with ESP

IP Header Source Address		TN2_Link3
	Destination Address	TN1_Link0
ICMP	Туре	128 (Echo Request)

### **ICMP Echo Request**

IP Header	Source Address	TN1_Link0
	Destination Address	TN2_Link3
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)

## **ICMP Echo Reply**

IP Header	Source Address	NUT_Link1
Destination Address		SGW1_Link2
ESP SPI		Dynamic2 or 0x2000
	Sequence	1
Encrypted Data/ICV		SA-0
IP Header Source Address		TN1_Link0
	Destination Address	TN2_Link3
ICMP	Туре	129 (Echo Reply)

ICMP Echo Reply with ESP



#### **Procedure:**



## All Parts: Algorithms

Step	Action	<b>Expected Result</b>
1.	Initialize the NUT	
2.	SGW1 transmits ICMP Echo Request with ESP	
3.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0 and Link1	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Request
4.	TN1 transmits ICMP Echo Reply	
5.	Observe the packets transmitted on Link0 and Link1	The NUT transmits ICMP Echo Reply with ESP

#### **Possible Problems:**



## **Modification Record**

Version	Date	Editor	Modification
2.0.0	2020-04-28	Timothy	Reorganized sections
	2019-01-16	Carlin	Separated ESP from Architecture tests
	2017-02-24		Common Configuration for Manual Keys and Policies
			Updated Algorithm Requirements according to RFC7321bis
			Added CHAHA20-POLY1305 to ADVANCED encryption
			algorithms
			Changed AES-CBC(128-bit) and NULL from ADVANCED to
			BASIC encryption algorithms
			Changed 3DES-CBC from BASIC to ADVANCED encryption
			algorithms
			Added AES-GCM(128-bit) to BASIC encryption algorithms
			Added AES-CBC (192-bit), AES-CBC(256-bit), AES-GCM(192-
			bit), and AES-GCM(256-bit) to ADVANCED encryption
			algorithms
			Changed HMAC-SHA-256 from ADVANCED to BASIC
			Integrity algorithms
			Added AES-GMAC(128-bit) to BASIC Integrity algorithms
			Added HMAC-SHA-384, HMAC-SHA-512, AES-GMAC(192-
			bit), and AES-GMAC(256-bit) to ADVANCED Integrity
			algorithms
			Added test cases for AES-CBC(128-bit) HMAC-SHA-256
			(Section 5.2.9, 6.2.9)
			Added test cases for AES-CBC HMAC-SHA-384 (Section
			5.2.10, 6.2.10)
			Added test cases for AES-UBU(256-Dit) HMAU-SHA-512
			(Section 5.2.11, 6.2.11)
			Added test cases for AES-GCM NULL (Section 5.2.12, 6.2.12),
			IPsoc Encapsulating Socurity Payload (ESD)"
			Added test cases for NIII LAFS-CMAC (Section 5.2.13
			6 2 13) RFC 4543 "The Use of Galois Message Integrity
			Code (GMAC) in IPsec ESP and AH
			Added IKEv2-Specific test cases
			Modified formatting and fixed typos
1110	2011 10 05	Timothy	Added Section 5.2.6 to verify that End Node can process a
1.11.0	2011-10-03	Carlin	tunneled ICMPy6 Packet Too Big Message and correctly
		Carini	reassemble/fragment nacket
			Modified Section 5.1 End-Node Transport Mode Packet Too
			Big Reception to fragment inhound Echo Request
			Removed ESP Null Authentication Tests
			Typos and Bug Fixes
1 1 0 0	2010-05-31	Timothy	Support Authentication Algorithm HMAC-SHA-256 in RFC
1.10.0	2010 05 51	Carlin	4868 (Using HMAC-SHA-256 HMAC-SHA-384 and
		Jurnin	HMAC-SHA-512 with IPsec) (Section 5.2.8 and 6.2.8)
			Added the description to Section 6.1.6 Possible Problems
192	2010-02-03		Corrected pre-shared key at subsection 5.1.5
1.7.2	2010 02 03		Corrected packet format of dummy packet at subsection
			6.1.7
			Clarified relationship between steps in procedure and
			Observable Result at all subsections.
1.9.1	2009-01-07		Support the passive node which doesn't have ning6
			application (as Possible Problems in Section 5.1.2)
1.9.0	2008-12-09		



1.8.1	2007-10-11	Support RFC 4312 (The Camellia Cipher Algorithm and Its Use With IPsec) (Section 5.2.7, 6.2.7) Use IPv6 prefix defined in RFC 3849 for the documentation Remove ESN test cases (Section 5.1.12, 6.1.14)
1.8.0	2007-05-27	Support IPsec v3
1.7.7	2006-05-06	Correct 5.3.4 Category
1.7.6	2005-12-22	Correct expected MTU value in ICMP Packet Too Big message for 6.1.5 Packet Too Big Forwarding
1.7.5	2005-09-20	Correct the maximum MTU value for 6.1.4 Packet Too Big Transmission.
1.7.4	2005-06-13	Fix typos
1.7.3	2005-06-07	Removed test for Packet Too Big Forwarding (Known Original Host) for SGW.
1.7.2	2005-05-20	Fix typos
1.7.1	2005-05-18	Change Security Policy for 5.3.2.
1.7	2005-05-08	Add Sequence Number Increment Test. Add ICMP Error Test.
1.6	2005-03-01	Change Keys Add Select SPD test for tunnel mode
1.5	2004-11-26	Change packet description of 5.1.4
1.4	2004-11-19	Change Host to End-Node, Default algorithms changed to (3DES-CBC, HMAC-SHA1) for Architecture test. Editorial fix
1.3	2004-09-24	
1.2	2004-09-22	
1.1	2004-09-13	
1.0	2004-09-08	



## Appendix A: Manual Settings Disallowed

The below algorithms are inherently insecure when used with static keys. The quotes below reference the applicable sections describing this for each algorithm.

## **AES-CCM**

According to RFC 4309, Section 2:

AES CCM employs counter mode for encryption. As with any stream cipher, reuse of the same IV value with the same key is catastrophic. An IV collision immediately leaks information about the plaintext in both packets. For this reason, it is inappropriate to use this CCM with statically configured keys. Extraordinary measures would be needed to prevent reuse of an IV value with the static key across power cycles. To be safe, implementations MUST use fresh keys with AES CCM. The Internet Key Exchange (IKE) [IKE] protocol or IKEv2 [IKEv2] can be used to establish fresh keys.

Therefore, Manual Keys MUST NOT be used with this algorithm, and devices that do not support IKEv2 will FAIL this test case.

## **AES-GCM**

According to RFC4106, Section 2:

Because reusing an nonce/key combination destroys the security guarantees of AES-GCM mode, it can be difficult to use this mode securely when using statically configured keys. For safety's sake, implementations MUST use an automated key management system, such as the Internet Key Exchange (IKE) [RFC2409], to ensure that this requirement is met.

Therefore, Manual Keys MUST NOT be used with this algorithm, and devices that do not support IKEv2 will FAIL this test case



## **AES-GMAC**

According to RFC4106, Section 2:

Because reusing an nonce/key combination destroys the security guarantees of AES-GCM mode, it can be difficult to use this mode securely when using statically configured keys. For safety's sake, implementations MUST use an automated key management system, such as the Internet Key Exchange (IKE) [RFC2409], to ensure that this requirement is met.

Therefore, Manual Keys MUST NOT be used with this algorithm, and devices that do not support IKEv2 will FAIL this test case.

## ChaCha20-Poly1305

According to RFC7634, Section 2:

The Internet Key Exchange Protocol generates a bitstring called KEYMAT using a pseudorandom function (PRF). That KEYMAT is divided into keys for encryption, message authentication, and whatever else is needed. The KEYMAT requested for each ChaCha20-Poly1305 key is 36 octets. The first 32 octets are the 256-bit ChaCha20 key, and the remaining 4 octets are used as the Salt value in the nonce.

Also, from Section 5:

The most important security consideration in implementing this document is the uniqueness of the nonce used in ChaCha20. The nonce should be selected uniquely for a particular key, but unpredictability of the nonce is not required. Counters and LFSRs are both acceptable ways of generating unique nonces.

Therefore, Manual Keys MUST NOT be used with this algorithm, and devices that do not support IKEv2 will FAIL this test case.



## Copyright

All Rights Reserved. Copyright (C) 2004 All Rights Reserved. Copyright (C) 2017 All Rights Reserved. Copyright (C) 2020 Yokogawa Electric Corporation IPv6 Forum University of New Hampshire - InterOperability Lab (UNH-IOL)

No part of this documentation may be reproduced for any purpose without prior permission.